What is Software Engineering?

Martin Kellogg

Reading quiz: SE + research

Q1: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author argues that major results in fields like software engineering gain credibility over time as successive papers provide incremental improvement of the result and progressively stronger credibility.

Q2: When and where is this class' final exam? (Give the date, start time, and room. Hint: it is in one of the two rooms that class is held in, so you just need to unambiguously specify which.)

Reading quiz: SE + research

Q1: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author argues that major results in fields like software engineering gain credibility over time as successive papers provide incremental improvement of the result and progressively stronger credibility.

Q2: When and where is this class' final exam? (Give the date, start time, and room. Hint: it is in one of the two rooms that class is held in, so you just need to unambiguously specify which.)

Reading quiz: SE + research

Q1: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author argues that major results in fields like software engineering gain credibility over time as successive papers provide incremental improvement of the result and progressively stronger credibility.

Q2: When and where is this class' final exam? (Give the date, start time, and room. Hint: it is in one of the two rooms that class is held in, so you just need to unambiguously specify which.)

Monday, December 16th at 11:30am, in GITC 1100.

Announcements

- Exam review session will be on **Friday evening**
 - 5-6:30pm on Zoom; I will post the link on Discord
 - bring questions; ends early if there are no more questions
- Extra OH Friday morning 9-10:30 (but no regular OH on Thursday)
- Course evaluations close tonight
 - please fill it out! I do read them...
 - I'll give you ~15-20 minutes at the end of class today (hopefully)
- Final demo attendance is mandatory
 - o all demos are in my office (GITC 4314)
 - time slots will be strictly enforced

What is Software Engineering?

Today's agenda:

- What is research? How is it similar/different from SE generally?
- Your relationship to researchers, as a developer
- What sort of problems does SE research solve

• *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before

- *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- All software development is to some extent **innovative**

- *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- All software development is to some extent **innovative**
 - the cost of copying software is zero, so any new software has
 by definition not been created before

- *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- All software development is to some extent **innovative**
 - the cost of copying software is zero, so any new software has
 by definition not been created before
 - this contrasts with many other fields, where practitioners ("engineers" or otherwise) are not doing anything fundamentally novel

- *Research* is the process of innovation: creating or discovering something that has never been built/known before
- All software development is to some extent **innovative**
 - the cost of copying software is zero, so any new software has
 by definition not been created before
 - this contrasts with many other fields, where practitioners ("engineers" or otherwise) are not doing anything fundamentally novel
 - in those field, anyone doing something new is doing "research"

• If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?

- If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?
 - the key difference is that most computer science research is meta in some way

- If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?
 - the key difference is that most computer science research is meta in some way
 - e.g., it might explore how to build classes of programs, like operating systems (OS) or compilers (PL)

- If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?
 - the key difference is that most computer science research is meta in some way
 - e.g., it might explore how to build classes of programs, like operating systems (OS) or compilers (PL)
 - or, it might explore foundational notions of what computers can and cannot do (CS theory)

- If all software development is innovative, what distinguishes **computer science research** from just doing software engineering?
 - the key difference is that most computer science research is meta in some way
 - e.g., it might explore how to build classes of programs, like operating systems (OS) or compilers (PL)
 - or, it might explore foundational notions of what computers can and cannot do (CS theory)
 - or explore what computers we can physically build (arch)

• So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:
 - what developers do
 - e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:
 - what developers do
 - e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.
 - how they do it
 - e.g., software architecture, design patterns

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:
 - what developers do
 - e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.
 - how they do it
 - e.g., software architecture, design patterns
 - better ways to improve software quality
 - e.g., new kinds of testing, static analysis, etc.

- So then what's meta about **software engineering** research?
- Software engineering researchers study:
 - what developers do
 - e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.
 - how they do it
 - e.g., software architecture, design patterns
 - better ways to improve software quality
 - e.g., new kinds of testing, static analysis, etc.
 - and anything else related to improving developer productivity

- So then what's meta abou
- Software engineering rese
 - what developers do

We'll come back to this stuff later in the lecture in a bit more detail, with some examples.

- e.g., studies of developers, what makes them more or less productive, etc.
- how they do it
 - e.g., software architecture, design patterns
- better ways to improve software quality
 - e.g., new kinds of testing, static analysis, etc.
- and anything else related to improving developer productivity

Most computer science research occurs in universities
 o including NJIT!

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
 including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
 o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
 - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
 o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
 - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
 - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.

- Most computer science researce involv
 including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
 - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
 - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.

Not just PhD students: as an **undergraduate** you can get involved in research too (I did!)

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
 o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
 - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
 - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.
- Some research is done in industry

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
 o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
 - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
 - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.
- Some research is done in industry
 - $\circ~$ e.g., Microsoft has MSR, AWS has ARG, etc.

- Most computer science research occurs in universities
 o including NJIT!
- Most research is actually done by students (especially PhD students), working under a professor
 - professor supplies high-level research vision + experience and training
 - student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.
- Some research is done in industry
 - e.g., Microsoft has MSR, AWS has ARG, etc.
 - sometimes developers do research by accident, too!

- Most computer science research occurs in **universities**
 - including NJIT!
- Most research is active students), working
 - professor suppl and training

However, developers rarely **publish** their research, which is important if you want it to be a part of the **total sum of human knowledge**.

ţe

- \circ $\:$ student does the grunt work of writing code, gather data, etc.
- Some research is done in industry
 - e.g., Microsoft has MSR, AWS has ARG, etc.
 - sometimes developers do research by accident, too!

Aside: should you do a PhD?

Aside: should you do a PhD?

• In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
 - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
 - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough
 - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
 - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough
 - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")
 - the PhD student's advisor (a professor) is their boss

- In my experience, most une like "more school".
 Another misconception: in the US,
 - This is a long way from like a job that gives you
 You usually do not need a master's degree to start a PhD program!
 - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")
 - the PhD student's advisor (a professor) is their boss

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
 - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough
 - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")
 - the PhD student's advisor (a professor) is their boss
- For this reason, in my opinion more undergraduates should at least consider doing a PhD

- In my experience, most undergrads think that doing a PhD is just like "more school".
 - This is a long way from the truth: being a PhD student is more like a job that gives you a PhD when you do it long enough
 - for example, PhD students in CS are typically paid, although not very much ("stipends")
 - the PhD student's advisor (a professor) is their boss
- For this reason, in my opinion more undergraduates should at least consider doing a PhD
 - it might be more affordable than you think!

• Pros of doing a PhD:

- Pros of doing a PhD:
 - you become a **world expert** in a topic

- Pros of doing a PhD:
 - you become a **world expert** in a topic
 - push forth the bounds of human knowledge

- Pros of doing a PhD:
 - you become a **world expert** in a topic
 - push forth the bounds of human knowledge
 - some jobs are **only accessible** to people with PhDs:

- Pros of doing a PhD:
 - you become a **world expert** in a topic
 - push forth the bounds of human knowledge
 - some jobs are **only accessible** to people with PhDs:
 - professor
 - although you can teach without a PhD, you can't get tenure without one

- Pros of doing a PhD:
 - you become a **world expert** in a topic
 - push forth the bounds of human knowledge
 - some jobs are **only accessible** to people with PhDs:
 - professor
 - although you can **teach** without a PhD, you can't get tenure without one
 - industrial researcher
 - e.g., static analysis designer, ML architecture developer, etc.

• Cons of doing a PhD:

- Cons of doing a PhD:
 - it's a **bad financial decision** (due to opportunity cost)
 - PhD students get paid, but much less than e.g., software engineer salaries

- Cons of doing a PhD:
 - it's a **bad financial decision** (due to opportunity cost)
 - PhD students get paid, but much less than e.g., software engineer salaries
 - it takes a long time
 - typically 4 to 6 years, sometimes longer

- Cons of doing a PhD:
 - it's a **bad financial decision** (due to opportunity cost)
 - PhD students get paid, but much less than e.g., software engineer salaries
 - \circ it takes a long time
 - typically 4 to 6 years, sometimes longer
 - it's mentally taxing
 - you're working on only one thing for 4-6 years!
 - rates of mental health problems among PhD students are much higher than the general population

• If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)

If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)

Which professor to approach? Choose a **research professor** whose work sounds interesting to you (or who you know already from class).

If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)

to find out about a professor's work, google "their name" NJIT" and read their website Which professor to approach? Choose a **research professor** whose **work** sounds interesting to you (or who you know already from class).

If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)

Which professor to approach? Choose a **research professor** whose work sounds interesting to you (or who you know already from class).

- at NJIT, research professors all have "professor" in the title
- teaching professors are "lecturers"

- If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)
 - high-quality PhD programs require letters of recommendation from professors you've worked with, so you should work with a professor :)

- If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)
 - high-quality PhD programs require letters of recommendation from professors you've worked with, so you should work with a professor :)
 - it's best to approach professors about joining their research group when you're a sophomore or junior

- If despite those cons, you think a PhD is something you might be interested in, come talk to me (or another professor in the department)
 - high-quality PhD programs require letters of recommendation from professors you've worked with, so you should work with a professor :)
 - it's best to approach professors about joining their research group when you're a sophomore or junior
 - at this stage, you know enough to be useful, but you'll be around long enough that you can ramp up on a project

What is Software Engineering?

Today's agenda:

- What is research? How is it similar/different from SE generally?
- Your relationship to researchers, as a developer
- What sort of problems does SE research solve

• Assuming you're not going to do a PhD, why should you care about research in software engineering (or CS in general)?

- Assuming you're not going to do a PhD, why should you care about research in software engineering (or CS in general)?
 - CS is a very **fast-changing**, young field
 - implying best practices change a lot: what we've covered in 490 might not be true anymore in 5/10/20 years

- Assuming you're not going to do a PhD, why should you care about research in software engineering (or CS in general)?
 - CS is a very **fast-changing**, young field
 - implying best practices change a lot: what we've covered in 490 might not be true anymore in 5/10/20 years
 - Many developers are also working in fast-changing domains within CS
 - e.g., if you're working on ML, you'll want to keep up with the latest ML research

• You may also have industrial researchers embedded in your company

- You may also have industrial researchers embedded in your company
 - if you're at a "big tech" company, you definitely do; other places, it's a maybe

- You may also have industrial researchers embedded in your company
 - if you're at a "big tech" company, you definitely do; other places, it's a maybe
- Especially if you're working on something cutting edge and you're considering trying to keep up with the latest research yourself, finding an industrial researcher in your company is a good idea
 - they can keep up with the research so you don't have to!

- Industry-focused academic publications
 - e.g., CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is great for this

- Industry-focused academic publications
 A.G. CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is
 - e.g., CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is great for this
- Find some **technology bloggers** that you like
 - common tech blog entry: a review of a recent paper by the blogger (they read it so you don't have to!)

- Industry-focused academic publications
 - $\circ~$ e.g., CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is great for this
- Find some **technology bloggers** that you like
 - common tech blog entry: a review of a recent paper by the blogger (they read it so you don't have to!)
- Attend industry conferences (at your employer's expense...)

- Industry-focused academic publications
 - $\circ~$ e.g., CACM ("Communications of the ACM") is great for this
- Find some **technology bloggers** that you like
 - common tech blog entry: a review of a recent paper by the blogger (they read it so you don't have to!)
- Attend industry conferences (at your employer's expense...)
- Keep up with research areas you're particularly interested in directly, by reading (or, more likely, skimming) papers
 o more advice on this next

Reading papers

I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
 o (if you're going to read them at all)
- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
 (if you're going to read them at all)
- "skimming" = "reading only the most important results, and skipping the details of how those results were reached"

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
 (if you're going to read them at all)
- "skimming" = "reading only the most important results, and skipping the details of how those results were reached"
 - in academic papers, this usually means reading just the abstract and introduction (and maybe the conclusion)

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
 (if you're going to read them at all)
- "skimming" = "reading only the most important results, and skipping the details of how those results were reached"
 - in academic papers, this usually means reading just the abstract and introduction (and maybe the conclusion)
- Be careful, though: **not** all academic papers are **equally high-quality**!

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer
 (if you're going to read them at all)
- "skimming" = "reading only the most important results, and skipping the details of how those results were reached"
 - in academic papers, this usually means reading just the abstract and introduction (and maybe the conclusion)
- Be careful, though: **not** all academic papers are **equally high-quality**!
 - as a dev, you're not trained to judge this, so relying on peer review + recommendations from e.g., tech bloggers is smart

- I strongly recommend that you skim papers as a developer (if you're going to read them at all)
- "skimming" = "reading pr **Exception**: papers published by skipping the details of
 - in academic paper Ο and introduction (
- Be careful, though: **no** high-quality!

0

industrial research labs (e.g., Google Research, MSR) are almost always written in a style closer to what developers are trained to read. These are often the ones you want to focus on as a developer, anyway! as a dev, you're no

Ct

review + recommendations from e.g., tech bloggers is smart

• In computer science, new research is usually published in **conferences** (not journals)

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
 - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
 - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months
- If you want to get a feel for the latest research in a part of CS, you need to find the **best conferences** for that field
 - usually, fields have many conferences, of which only 2-4 are high-quality

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
 - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months
- If you want to get a feel for the latest research in a part of CS, you need to find the **best conferences** for that field
 - usually, fields have many conferences, of which only 2-4 are high-quality
- To find the best conferences, you could:

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
 - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months
- If you want to get a feel for the latest research in a part of CS, you need to find the **best conferences** for that field
 - usually, fields have many conferences, of which only 2-4 are high-quality
- To find the best conferences, you could:
 - ask a peer in industrial research (if you have one)

- In computer science, new research is usually published in conferences (not journals)
 - conferences have shorter **publication lag**, often < 6 months
- If you want to get a feel for the latest research in a part of CS, you need to find the **best conferences** for that field
 - usually, fields have many conferences, of which only 2-4 are high-quality
- To find the best conferences, you could:
 - ask a peer in industrial research (if you have one)
 - use a website like <u>csrankings.org</u>

What is Software Engineering?

Today's agenda:

- What is research? How is it similar/different from SE generally?
- Your relationship to researchers, as a developer
- What sort of problems does SE research solve

Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
 e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"

- Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
 e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"
- Other areas are united by **application**
 - e.g., most OS papers are about operating systems

- Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
 e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"
- Other areas are united by **application**
 - e.g., most OS papers are about operating systems
- Software engineering research is united by an application: developer productivity

- Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
 e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"
- Other areas are united by **application**
 - e.g., most OS papers are about operating systems
- Software engineering research is united by an application: developer productivity
 - as a developer, this is an application you probably care about

- Some research areas in CS are united by methodology
 e.g., most PL papers are "compilers for X"
- Other areas are united by **application**
 - e.g., most OS papers are about operating systems
- Software engineering research is united by an application: developer productivity
 - as a developer, this is an application you probably care about
 - so SE research is particularly important to developers!

What's Hot in Software Engineering Research

- My goal in this section is to give you a **taste** of some of research going on in the software engineering community right now
 - these slides aren't exhaustive

What's Hot in Software Engineering Research

- My goal in this section is to give you a taste of some of research going on in the software engineering community right now
 these slides aren't exhaustive
- If you want to know more about any of this, come by my office hours or make an appointment with me I love to talk about this stuff!

Applications of LLM and Other AI Technologies

	£ (₹ %	Liuqing Chen 🔍, Yunnong Chen 🔍, Shuhong Xiao 🔍, Yaxuan Song 🔍, Lingyun Sun 🔍, Yankun Zhen 🖏, Tingting Zhou 🔍, Yanfang Chang 🕲: EGFE: End-to-end Grouping of Fragmented Elements in UI Designs with Multimodal Learning. 11:1-11:12
	T (₹ %	Cuiying Gao 💩, Gaozhun Huang 💩, Heng Li 💩, Bang Wu 💩, Yueming Wu 🖾, Wei Yuan 🖾: A Comprehensive Study of Learning-based Android Malware Detectors under Challenging Environments. 12:1-12:13
	T (₹ %	Antonio Mastropaolo 💿, Fiorella Zampetti 🔍, Gabriele Bavota 🔍, Massimiliano Di Penta 🕃: Toward Automatically Completing GitHub Workflows. 13:1-13:12
	T C	× ~	Junjielong Xu 💩, Ziang Cui 💩, Yuan Zhao 💩, Xu Zhang 💩, Shilin He 💩, Pinjia He 💩, Liqun Li 🕲, Yu Kang 💩, Qingwei Lin 🕲, Yingnong Dang 💩, Saravan Rajmohan 💩, Dongmei Zhang 🕲: UniLog: Automatic Logging via LLM and In-Context Learning. 14:1-14:12
3	T C	≈ %	Yutong Wang [®] , Cindy Rubio-González [®] : Predicting Performance and Accuracy of Mixed-Precision Programs for Precision Tuning. 15:1-15:13
8	T (₹ %	Benjamin Steenhoek , Hongyang Gao , Wei Le : Dataflow Analysis-Inspired Deep Learning for Efficient Vulnerability Detection. 16:1-16:13
8	<u>₽</u> @	₹ ~	Aidan Z. H. Yang 💿, Claire Le Goues 💿, Ruben Martins 💿, Vincent J. Hellendoorn 💿: Large Language Models for Test-Free Fault Localization. 17:1-17:12

Applications of LLM and Other AI Technologies

	¢ ~		hen ®, Yunnong Chen ®, Shuhong Xiao ®, Yaxuan Song ®, Lingyun Sun ®, Yankun Zhen ®, Tingting Zhou ®, Yanfang Chang ®:	_			
	DNN and Language Models for Code						
■ 🗄 🕹		E & & &	Binhang Qi , Hailong Sun , Hongyu Zhang , Ruobing Zhao , Xiang Gao : Modularizing while Training: A New Paradigm for Modularizing DNN Models. 31:1-31:12				
		Ē £ ¢ ¢	Lipeng Ma [©] , Weidong Yang [©] , Bo Xu [©] , Sihang Jiang [©] , Ben Fei [©] , Jiaqing Liang [©] , Mingjie Zhou [©] , Yanghua Xiao [©] : KnowLog: Knowledge Enhanced Pre-trained Language Model for Log Understanding. 32:1-32:13	an Rajmohan ©, Dongmei			
		Ē £ ¢ ~	Changan Niu [®] , Chuanyi Li [®] , Vincent Ng [®] , David Lo [®] , Bin Luo [®] : FAIR: Flow Type-Aware Pre-Training of Compiler Intermediate Representations. 33:1-33:12				
L 🗟 🔲		<u>∎</u> £ ¢ ~	Qi Guo , Junming Cao , Xiaofei Xie , Shangqing Liu , Xiaohong Li , Bihuan Chen , Xin Peng : Exploring the Potential of ChatGPT in Automated Code Refinement: An Empirical Study. 34:1-34:13				
1		<u>∎</u> £ ¢ ~	Boxi Yu 🕏, Jiayi Yao 💿, Qiuai Fu 💿, Zhiqing Zhong 🕏, Haotian Xie 💿, Yaoliang Wu 💿, Yuchi Ma 💿, Pinjia He 💿: Deep Learning or Classical Machine Learning? An Empirical Study on Log-Based Anomaly Detection. 35:1-35:13				
B		<u>∎</u> £ ¢ «	Yangruibo Ding [®] , Benjamin Steenhoek [®] , Kexin Pei [®] , Gail E. Kaiser [®] , Wei Le [®] , Baishakhi Ray [®] : TRACED: Execution-aware Pre-training for Source Code. 36:1-36:12				
	•	<u>∎</u> £ ¢ «	Hao Yu 🕏, Bo Shen 💩, Dezhi Ran 💩, Jiaxin Zhang 🔍, Qi Zhang 🕏, Yuchi Ma 🕏, Guangtai Liang 🕏, Ying Li 🕏, Qianxiang Wang 🕏, Tao Xie 👁: CoderEval: A Benchmark of Pragmatic Code Generation with Generative Pre-trained Models. 37:1-37:12				
	•	1 <mark>8</mark> L ¢ ¢	Shibbir Ahmed [®] , Hongyang Gao [®] , Hridesh Rajan [®] : Inferring Data Preconditions from Deep Learning Models for Trustworthy Prediction in Deployment. 38:1-38:13				

Applications of LLM and Other AI Technologies



Applications of LLM and Other AI Technologies

J Q G Liuging Chen 🔍, Yunnong Chen 🔍, Shuhong Xiao 🔍, Yaxuan Song 🔍, Lingvun Sun 🔍, Yankun Zhen 🔍, Tingting Zhou 🔍, Yanfang Chang 🕃 EGEE: End-to-end Grouping of Fragmented Elements in III Designs with Multimodal Learning 11:1-11:12 **DNN and Language Models for Code** Π. 🔳 🖹 🖑 😤 Binhang Oi 🔍, Hailong Sun 🔍, Hongyu Zhang 🔍, Ruobing Zhao 🔍, Xiang Gao 🔅 .υ. Modularizing while Training: A New Paradigm for Modularizing DNN Models. 31:1-31:12 **Testing with and for AI** 1 mei Reload this page 😕 🌱 🐁 Sidong Feng 🔍, Chunyang Chen 🔍 Prompting Is All You Need: Automated Android Bug Replay with Large Language Models. 67:1-67:13 B .U 🗄 🥰 📽 🛛 Neelofar 🔍, Aldeida Aleti 🔍: Towards Reliable AI: Adequacy Metrics for Ensuring the Quality of System-level Testing of Autonomous Vehicles. 68:1-68:12 J. E 😃 🤄 ổ 🖞 Yakun Zhang 🖲, Wenjie Zhang 🗟, Dezhi Ran 💿, Qihao Zhu 🗟, Chengfeng Dou 🗟, Dan Hao 🗟, Tao Xie 🗟, Lu Zhang 🕃 : Learning-based Widget Matching for Migrating GUI Test Cases. 69:1-69:13 🔳 🗟 🕹 😃 🤄 ổ 🛛 Yinlin Deng 🔍, Chunqiu Steven Xia 🔍, Chenyuan Yang 🔍, Shizhuo Dylan Zhang, Shujing Yang 🔍, Lingming Zhang 🕮 : Large Language Models are Edge-Case Generators: Crafting Unusual Programs for Fuzzing Deep Learning Libraries. 70:1-70:13 L C C Yuanhong Lan 🔍, Yifei Lu 🔍, Zhong Li 🔍, Minxue Pan 🔍, Wenhua Yang 🔍, Tian Zhang 🔍, Xuandong Li 🕃: Deeply Reinforcing Android GUI Testing with Deep Reinforcement Learning. 71:1-71:13

All ICSE 2024

• Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a **major part** of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward

- Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward
 - many engineers want to use them, even if they're not currently permitted to due to legal risks
 - great for generating boilerplate, tests, etc.

- Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward
 - many engineers want to use them, even if they're not currently permitted to due to legal risks
 - great for generating boilerplate, tests, etc.
- My view: LLMs are like an **untrustworthy but very smart compiler**

- Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward
 - many engineers want to use them, even if they're not currently permitted to due to legal risks
 - great for generating boilerplate, tests, etc.
- My view: LLMs are like an untrustworthy but very smart compiler
 unlike traditional compiler, do not promise to preserve semantics (and might hallucinate)

- Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and many other disciplines) going forward
 - many engineers want to use them, even if they're not currently permitted to due to legal risks
 - great for generating boilerplate, tests, etc.
- My view: LLMs are like an **untrustworthy but very smart compiler**
 - unlike traditional compiler, do not promise to preserve semantics (and might hallucinate)
 - but input can be natural language or a specification, rather than another program

2.

• Current trends suggest that LLMs are going to be a major part of software engineering (and Possible future workflow:

rently

mpiler

- many engineers wan permitted to due to l
 - great for generat
- My view: LLMs are like a
 - unlike traditional con 3. SDE reviews final code semantics (and might hallucinate)
 - but input can be natural language or a specification, rather than another program

1. LLMs generate code

deductive verification tools

check for correctness

Al&SE Program Repair

	∎ £ ¢ ~	Julian Aron Prenner , Romain Robbes : Out of Context: How important is Local Context in Neural Program Repair? 83:1-83:13
	i 🖟 🖓 🖗	Hadeel Eladawy 💿, Claire Le Goues 💿, Yuriy Brun 💿: Automated Program Repair, What Is It Good For? Not Absolutely Nothing! 84:1-84:13
	Ē ₽ ¢ ¢	Wenzhang Yang 💩, Linhai Song 💩, Yinxing Xue 🕲: Rust-lancet: Automated Ownership-Rule-Violation Fixing with Behavior Preservation. 85:1-85:13
	1 🗟 🖓 🍕	Fairuz Nawer Meem [®] , Justin Smith [®] , Brittany Johnson [®] : Exploring Experiences with Automated Program Repair in Practice. 86:1-86:11
	E & & &	Yiu Wai Chow [©] , Luca Di Grazia [©] , Michael Pradel [©] : PyTy: Repairing Static Type Errors in Python. 87:1-87:13
	i 🖟 🖓 🖓 🖥	Xin Zhou , Kisub Kim , Bowen Xu , DongGyun Han , David Lo : Out of Sight, Out of Mind: Better Automatic Vulnerability Repair by Broadening Input Ranges and Sources. 88:1-88:13
1	E & ¢ ∞	Changhua Luo 💩, Wei Meng 💩, Shuai Wang 🕲: Strengthening Supply Chain Security with Fine-grained Safe Patch Identification. 89:1-89:12
	E & & &	Shaoheng Cao 💿, Minxue Pan 💿, Yu Pei 💿, Wenhua Yang 💿, Tian Zhang 💿, Linzhang Wang 💿, Xuandong Li 💿: Comprehensive Semantic Repair of Obsolete GUI Test Scripts for Mobile Applications. 90:1-90:13
	1 🔁 🖓 🖧	Zunchen Huang , Chao Wang : Constraint Based Program Repair for Persistent Memory Bugs. 91:1-91:12

- Basic *automated program repair* (APR) idea:
 - given a test suite with one failing test and the program source
 - make some change so that the test passes

- Basic *automated program repair* (APR) idea:
 - given a test suite with one failing test and the program source
 - make some change so that the test passes
- Modern APR revival is based on **promise of LLMs**

- Basic *automated program repair* (APR) idea:
 - given a test suite with one failing test and the program source
 - make some change so that the test passes
- Modern APR revival is based on **promise of LLMs**
- But we've been here before...
 - back in 2012, we had APR systems claiming ~50% repair rate

- Basic *automated program repair* (APR) idea:
 - given a test suite with one failing test and the program source
 - make some change so that the test passes
- Modern APR revival is based on **promise of LLMs**
- But we've been here before...
 - back in 2012, we had APR systems claiming ~50% repair rate
 - this was mostly hype + bad measurements
 - ask me for more details...
 - maybe this time will be different?

What's Hot: Fuzzing

Fuzzing and Symbolic Execution

E E & ≪	Yue Sun [©] , Guowei Yang [©] , Shichao Lv [©] , Zhi Li [©] , Limin Sun [©] : Concrete Constraint Guided Symbolic Execution. 122:1-122:12
5 \$ \$ £ 6	Luiz Carvalho 🕏, Renzo Degiovanni 🕏, Maxime Cordy 🕏, Nazareno Aguirre 🕏, Yves Le Traon 🕏, Mike Papadakis 🕏: SpecBCFuzz: Fuzzing LTL Solvers with Boundary Conditions. 123:1-123:13
E & ¢ ¢	Zhiwu Xu 🔍, Bohao Wu 🔍, Cheng Wen 🔍, Bin Zhang 🔍, Shengchao Qin 🔍, Mengda He 🔍: RPG: Rust Library Fuzzing with Pool-based Fuzz Target Generation and Generic Support. 124:1-124:13
E & & &	Xindi Zhang [©] , Bohan Li [©] , Shaowei Cai [©] : Deep Combination of CDCL(T) and Local Search for Satisfiability Modulo Non-Linear Integer Arithmetic Theory. 125:1-125:13
E & ¢ ¢	Chunqiu Steven Xia ©, Matteo Paltenghi ©, Jia Le Tian ©, Michael Pradel ©, Lingming Zhang ©: Fuzz4All: Universal Fuzzing with Large Language Models. 126:1-126:13
E & & ~	Shuohan Wu 🕏, Zihao Li 🕏, Luyi Yan 🕏, Weimin Chen 🕏, Muhui Jiang 🕏, Chenxu Wang 🕏, Xiapu Luo 🕏, Hao Zhou 🕏: Are We There Yet? Unraveling the State-of-the-Art Smart Contract Fuzzers. 127:1-127:13
E & ¢ ¢	Shuo Yang [©] , Jiachi Chen [©] , Mingyuan Huang, Zibin Zheng, Yuan Huang: Uncover the Premeditated Attacks: Detecting Exploitable Reentrancy Vulnerabilities by Identifying Attacker Contracts. 128:1-128:12
1 5 C C C	Katherine Hough ©, Jonathan Bell ©: Crossover in Parametric Fuzzing. 129:1-129:12
E & ¢ ~	Shengcheng Yu [©] , Chunrong Fang [©] , Mingzhe Du [©] , Yuchen Ling [©] , Zhenyu Chen [©] , Zhendong Su [®] : Practical Non-Intrusive GUI Exploration Testing with Visual-based Robotic Arms. 130:1-130:13
1 🔁 🖓 🖧	Xuwei Liu 💿, Wei You 💿, Yapeng Ye 🔍, Zhuo Zhang 🔍, Jianjun Huang 🔍, Xiangyu Zhang 🕮: FuzzInMem: Fuzzing Programs via In-memory Structures. 131:1-131:13
<u>∎</u> £ ¢ ~	Danushka Liyanage 🔍, Seongmin Lee 🔍, Chakkrit Tantithamthavorn 🔍, Marcel Böhme 🕃: Extrapolating Coverage Rate in Greybox Fuzzing. 132:1-132:12

What's Hot: Fuzzing

Fuzzing and Symbolic Execution

🔳 📴 🗜 🤆 🦿	Yue Sun [®] , Guowei Yang [®] , Shichao Lv [®] , Zhi Li [®] , Limin Sun [®] : Concrete Constraint Guided Symbolic Execution. 122:1-122:12				
📕 🖥 🗄 🤤 🥰	Luiz Carvalho 🔍, Renzo Degiovanni 🔍, Maxime Cordy 🔍, Nazareno Aguirre 🖏, Yves Le Traon 🔍, Mike Papadakis 电: SpecBCFuzz: Fuzzing LTL Solvers with Boundary Conditions, 123:1-123:13				
■ 월 & ¢ <	Zhiw Fuzzing and Vulnerability Detection RPG				
■ 🗄 ఔ ୡ ଝ	Deel 🔜 🔽 🔨 🖓	Junda He 🖲, Zhou Yang 🖲, Jieke Shi 🖲, Chengran Yang 🔍, Kisub Kim 🖲, Bowen Xu 🕲, Xin Zhou 🔍, David Lo 🗔: Curiosity-Driven Testing for Sequential Decision-Making Process. 165:1-165:14			
	Fuzz 📕 🗟 🗄 🤻	Yuqiang Sun 💿, Daoyuan Wu 💿, Yue Xue 💿, Han Liu 💿, Haijun Wang 💿, Zhengzi Xu 💿, Xiaofei Xie 💿, Yang Liu 💿: GPTScan: Detecting Logic Vulnerabilities in Smart Contracts by Combining GPT with Program Analysis. 166:1-166:13			
■ 🗄 & ¢ ๙	Are E C C C	Qi Zhan ^(a) , Xing Hu ^(a) , Zhiyang Li ^(a) , Xin Xia ^(b) , David Lo ^(a) , Shanping Li ^(a) : PS3: Precise Patch Presence Test based on Semantic Symbolic Signature. 167:1-167:12			
🔳 🔒 🕹 🤻 🗳		Zhijie Zhong 💩, Zibin Zheng 💩, Hong-Ning Dai 🕲, Qing Xue 🔍, Junjia Chen 🕲, Yuhong Nan 🕲: PrettySmart: Detecting Permission Re-delegation Vulnerability for Token Behaviors in Smart Contracts. 168:1-168:12			
■ 🗄 🕸 🤤 🦿	Prac	Huanting Wang , Zhanyong Tang , Shin Hwei Tan , Jie Wang , Yuzhe Liu , Hejun Fang , Chunwei Xia , Zheng Wang : Combining Structured Static Code Information and Dynamic Symbolic Traces for Software Vulnerability Prediction. 169:1-169:13			
■ 13 C C C C	Fuzz	Feng Luo 💿, Ruijie Luo 💿, Ting Chen 🔍, Ao Qiao 💿, Zheyuan He 💿, Shuwei Song 💿, Yu Jiang 💿, Sixing Li 💿: SCVHunter: Smart Contract Vulnerability Detection Based on Heterogeneous Graph Attention Network. 170:1-170:13			
	Extra 🔳 🖹 🕹 🤤 🦿	Xun Deng 💿, Sidi Mohamed Beillahi 🔍, Cyrus Minwalla 🖾, Han Du 🖏, Andreas G. Veneris 🖾, Fan Long 🗟: Safeguarding DeFi Smart Contracts against Oracle Deviations. 171:1-171:12			

Wrapup

- If you remember one thing from this class:
 - software engineering is all about trade-offs!
- I hope you enjoyed CS 490 this semester
- Remaining class time: course evaluations
 - I do read them!
 - find it at <u>canvas.njit.edu</u> or <u>blue.njit.edu/blue</u>