Languages (+ end of code review)

Martin Kellogg

• **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.
- **Balance** giving explicit directions with just pointing out problems and letting the developer decide.

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.
- **Balance** giving explicit directions with just pointing out problems and letting the developer decide.

"In general it is the **developer's responsibility** to fix a CL, not the reviewer's"

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- Explain your reasoning.
- **Balance** giving explicit directions with just pointing out problems and letting the developer decide.
- Insist developers simplify code or add code comments instead of just explaining the complexity to you.

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.
- **Balance** giving explicit directions with just pointing out problems and letting the developer decide.
- Insist developers simplify code or add code comments instead of just explaining the complexity to you.

"Explanations written only in the code review tool are not helpful to future code readers"

• Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
 - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
 - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.

Usually authors treat comments without a severity level as must fix

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
 - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.
 - Nit: This is a minor thing. Technically you should do it, but it won't hugely impact things.

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
 - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.
 - Nit: This is a minor thing. Technically you should do it, but it won't hugely impact things.
 - **Optional**: I think this may be a good idea, but it's not strictly required.

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
 - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.
 - Nit: This is a minor thing. Technically you should do it, but it won't hugely impact things.
 - **Optional**: I think this may be a good idea, but it's not strictly required.
 - **FYI**: I don't expect you to do this in this CL, but you may find this interesting to think about for the future.

• Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.

• Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.

If you get **pushback** on a suggestion, take the time to understand why

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.

Try to get back to the author within "**one business day**", whatever that means for your team

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax

Common mistake: "LGTM" everything for the sake of speed

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax
- Being inconsistent

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax
- Being inconsistent

I've had reviewers ask for one thing, which I do, and then ask for something completely different a week later. **Read your previous review!**

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax
- Being inconsistent
- Letting complexity through with a promise to clean up later

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax
- Being inconsistent
- Letting complexity through with a promise to clean up later

Doesn't usually happen! If the problem is serious, insist on fixing it now!

• Respond to every comment

Making a code change counts as a response! Don't write "fixed" or similar on every comment.

- Respond to every comment
- If you fix something in one place, fix it everywhere

As a reviewer, it is very tedious to point out every place that an author has made the same mistake.

- Respond to every comment
- If you fix something in one place, fix it everywhere
- Assume good faith

- Respond to every comment
- If you fix something in one place, fix it everywhere
- Assume **good faith**
- Address comments by changing the code, not by explaining in the review tool

- Recommendation: Do not exceed 60 minute session
- Reason: focus fatigue



Defect Density vs. Inspection Rate

- Recommendation: Don't review more than 400 LoC per hour
- Reason: at faster paces, reviews get too shallow



[Code Review at Cisco Systems. In J A Cohen et al.'s Best Kept Secrets of Peer Code Review, 2013.]

Defect Density vs. Inspection Rate

- Recommendation:
 Don't review more
 than 400 LoC per
 hour
- Reason: at faster paces, reviews get too shallow



[Code Review at Cisco Systems. In J A Cohen et al.'s Best Kept Secrets of Peer Code Review, 2013.]



Code Review

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides on interviewing
- Reading Quiz
- What is code review (and why we do it)
- How to do a code review (with empirical evidence)
- Good and bad examples of code review comments
[Many of the examples in the following slides borrowed from Sandya Sankarram's <u>"Unlearning toxic behaviors in a code review culture"</u>]

| 108 + | | |
|----------------|------------------------|--|
| extra sp | 2 minutes ago | |
| Reply | | |
| Start a new co | onversation | |
| 109 + | navItems: [], | |
| extra sp | 2 minutes ago | |
| Reply | | |
| Start a new co | onversation | |
| 110 + | currentChannel: '927', | |
| extra sp | 2 minutes ago pace | |
| Reply | | |
| Start a new co | | |
| 111 + 112 + | | |
| extra sp | 2 minutes ago pace | |
| Reply | | |



| 112 | - todang, rate, 08 + videos: [], | | |
|-------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| 100 | ssnkr 2 minutes ago extra space | | |
| 10 | Reply | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 84 | ssnkr commented 2 minutes | ago | + 😐 🖋 🗙 |
| | | | |
| | The state of the state of the state of | | and the second second second second |
| | | | |
| | | me trailing spaces on several lines of your | change set. Our style guide |
| | | me trailing spaces on several lines of your ace. Can you take a look at this? | change set. Our style guide |
| | | | change set. Our style guide |
| | specifies no trailing whitespa | | change set. Our style guide |
| ۲ کا | specifies no trailing whitespa | | change set. Our style guide |
| | specifies no trailing whitespa | | change set. Our style guide |
| Start a r | specifies no trailing whitespa | | change set. Our style guide |
| Start a r | specifies no trailing whitespa | | change set. Our style guide |
| Start a r | specifies no trailing whitespa Reply 11 11 12 + Loading: false, | | change set. Our style guide |

| ssnkr 2 minutes ago extra space Reply ssnkr commented 2 minutes ago | BETTER: consolidate the comment in one place rather than repeating yourself |
|---|--|
| Looks like you checked in some trailing spaces of | on several lines of your change set. Our style guide |
| specifies no trailing whitespace. Can you take a | |









ssnkr commented 2 minutes ago

LGTM 💯 🎉

OK: emojis and similar "casual" language should only be used to praise, never to criticize

anon-reviewer

I don't mean we're mean-spirited. I just mean that we are merciless. You'll notice that I left the comment "Beep!" on the imports of every file you touched. What I meant was, "Your imports violate our standard convention — we order them by built-ins, then third party, and then project level," but that was too much to type on every file.

anon-reviewer

I don't mean we're mean-spirited. I just mean that we are merciless. You'll notice that I left the comment "Beep!" on the imports of every file you touched. What I meant was, "Your imports violate our

standard convention and then project I

VERY BAD!

rude, condescending, and sarcastic. Be helpful, not antagonistic

anon-reviewer

This breaks when you enter a negative number. Can you please address this case?

anon-reviewer

This breaks when you enter a negative number. Can you please address this case?

GOOD: straight to the point, politely points out a technical problem

Takeaways: code review

- Code review is one of the best ways to prevent defects
 - You must do it during the course project (I will check!)
- Be nice as both an author and a reviewer
 - Respect each other and each other's time
- One thing I'll look for when assessing your group project is the quality of your code reviews
 - If you're unsure, you can ask the course staff to review your reviews (in office hours)

Q1: What was the source of the latency spikes in the original Go implementation of the Read States service?

- A. Go's default hash table had too many collisions
- **B.** Go is an interpreted language
- **C.** Go arrays require expensive copy operations if they get too big
- **D.** Go's garbage collector runs every 2 minutes

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: Even with just basic optimization, the Rust version of the Read States service outperformed the hyper hand-tuned Go version.

Q1: What was the source of the latency spikes in the original Go implementation of the Read States service?

- A. Go's default hash table had too many collisions
- **B.** Go is an interpreted language
- **C.** Go arrays require expensive copy operations if they get too big
- **D.** Go's garbage collector runs every 2 minutes

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE:** Even with just basic optimization, the Rust version of the Read States service outperformed the hyper hand-tuned Go version.

Q1: What was the source of the latency spikes in the original Go implementation of the Read States service?

- A. Go's default hash table had too many collisions
- **B.** Go is an interpreted language
- **C.** Go arrays require expensive copy operations if they get too big
- **D.** Go's garbage collector runs every 2 minutes

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE:** Even with just basic optimization, the Rust version of the Read States service outperformed the hyper hand-tuned Go version.

• the language a project is written in has a big impact on how the project goes

- the language a project is written in has a big impact on how the project goes
 - as always, choose the right tool for the job

- the language a project is written in has a big impact on how the project goes
 - as always, choose the right tool for the job
- it's fairly rare that you get to choose a language, but when you do, it's a big responsibility!

- the language a project is written in has a big impact on how the project goes
 - as always, choose the right tool for the job
- it's fairly rare that you get to choose a language, but when you do, it's a big responsibility!
 - lecture goal: give you tools to evaluate the trade-offs between different languages

- the language a project is written in has a big impact on how the project goes
 - as always, choose the right tool for the job
- it's fairly rare that you get to choose a language, but when you do, it's a big responsibility!
 Iecture goal: give you tool when you inherit a code base,
 - between different languag

Advice before we go further: when you inherit a code base, don't try to rewrite it right away in a "better" language: it's usually not worth it

How can programming languages differ?

How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
 - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
 - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
 - how well do you know the language
 - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
 - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
 - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
 - how well do you know the language
 - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

Programming language paradigms

Definition: a language *paradigm* is a way to classify programming languages, usually by their style of structuring programs

Programming language paradigms

Definition: a language *paradigm* is a way to classify programming languages, usually by their style of structuring programs

• usually based on some kind of mathematical foundation

Programming language paradigms

Definition: a language *paradigm* is a way to classify programming languages, usually by their style of structuring programs

- usually based on some kind of mathematical foundation
- common, important paradigms we'll discuss today:
 - imperative
 - \circ functional
 - object-oriented

Definition: in the *imperative* paradigm, programs are sequences of commands that destructively update one or more arrays

Definition: in the *imperative* paradigm, programs are sequences of commands that destructively update one or more arrays

• key mathematical formalism: ???

Definition: in the *imperative* paradigm, programs are sequences of commands that destructively update one or more arrays

• key mathematical formalism: **Turing machines**

Definition: in the *imperative* paradigm, programs are sequences of commands that destructively update one or more arrays

key mathematical formalism: Turing machines
 review: what's a Turing machine (on the whiteboard)?

Definition: in the *imperative* paradigm, programs are sequences of commands that destructively update one or more arrays

- key mathematical formalism: Turing machines
 review: what's a Turing machine (on the whiteboard)?
- this is the single **most-common** programming paradigm

Definition: in the *imperative* paradigm, programs are sequences of commands that destructively update one or more arrays

- key mathematical formalism: Turing machines
 review: what's a Turing machine (on the whiteboard)?
- this is the single most-common programming paradigm
- models actual computers very well:
 - \circ commands = ?
 - array that is destructively updated = ?
Imperative programming

Definition: in the *imperative* paradigm, programs are sequences of commands that destructively update one or more arrays

- key mathematical formalism: Turing machines
 review: what's a Turing machine (on the whiteboard)?
- this is the single most-common programming paradigm
- models actual computers very well:
 - commands = instructions to the processor
 - array that is destructively updated = ?

Imperative programming

Definition: in the *imperative* paradigm, programs are sequences of commands that destructively update one or more arrays

- key mathematical formalism: Turing machines
 review: what's a Turing machine (on the whiteboard)?
- this is the single most-common programming paradigm
- models actual computers very well:
 - commands = instructions to the processor
 - array that is destructively updated = registers/memory/disk

Languages with imperative programming (non-exhaustive list):

Languages with imperative programming (non-exhaustive list):

- FORTRAN
- C
- C++
- Python
- Java
- JavaScript/TypeScript
- many, many others!

Consider the following C program:

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double)(x + y);
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
```

```
Consider the following C program:
```

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double)(x + y);
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
```

semicolons separate commands, program is a list of commands

Consider the following C program:

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double)(x + y);
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
```

Definition: in the *functional* paradigm, programs are compositions of mathematical expressions (especially functions)

Definition: in the *functional* paradigm, programs are compositions of mathematical expressions (especially functions)

• key mathematical formalism: ?

Definition: in the *functional* paradigm, programs are compositions of mathematical expressions (especially functions)

• key mathematical formalism: lambda calculus

Definition: in the *functional* paradigm, programs are compositions of mathematical expressions (especially functions)

key mathematical formalism: lambda calculus
 in the lambda calculus, everything is a function

Definition: in the *functional* paradigm, programs are compositions of mathematical expressions (especially functions)

- key mathematical formalism: lambda calculus
 - in the lambda calculus, everything is a function
 - lambda calculus is **as powerful** as Turing machines
 - "as powerful" = anything you can compute with a Turing machine can also be computed with the lambda calculus

Definition: in the *functional* paradigm, programs are compositions of mathematical expressions (especially functions)

- key mathematical formalism: lambda calculus
 - in the lambda calculus, everything is a function
 - lambda calculus is **as powerful** as Turing machines
 - "as powerful" = anything you can compute with a Turing machine can also be computed with the lambda calculus
- functional programming models math well
 - it is easier to formally reason about functional programs

• Computation = evaluating (math) functions

- Computation = evaluating (math) functions
- Avoid "global state" and "mutable data"

- Computation = evaluating (math) functions
- Avoid "global state" and "mutable data"
- Get stuff done = apply (higher-order) functions

- Computation = evaluating (math) functions
- Avoid "global state" and "mutable data"
- Get stuff done = apply (**higher-order**) functions
- Avoid sequential commands

- Computation = evaluating (math) functions
- Avoid "global state" and "mutable data"
- Get stuff done = apply (higher-order) functions
- Avoid sequential commands
- Important Features of functional languages:
 - **Higher-order, first-class** functions
 - Closures and recursion
 - Lists and list processing

- Computation = evaluating (math) functions
- Avoid "global state" and "mutable data"
- Get stuff done = apply (**higher-order**) functions
- Avoid sequential commands
- Important Features of functional languages:
 - Higher-order, first-class f
 - Closures and recursion
 - Lists and list processing

Let's look at how imperative and functional languages manage state in a bit more detail

Definition: The *state* of a program is all of the current variable and heap values

• Imperative programs destructively update the state.

- Imperative programs destructively update the state.
 - e.g., after executing $*_x = y$ (in a C program), the memory cell that x points to now holds the value y. Its old value is gone.

- Imperative programs destructively update the state.
 - e.g., after executing x = y (in a C program), the memory cell that x points to now holds the value y. Its old value is gone.
- Functional programs yield new similar states over time.

- Imperative programs destructively update the state.
 - e.g., after executing x = y (in a C program), the memory cell that x points to now holds the value y. Its old value is gone.
- Functional programs yield new similar states over time.
 - o let x = y in ... , however, only changes x's value within the scope of the ...

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double)(x + y);
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
```

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double) (x + y);
  z = z / 2;
 printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
```

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double) (x + y);
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
            let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
            enc
```

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
                                   NOT the same as a semi-colon:
  double z = (double) (x + y);
                                   commands vs expressions
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
            let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
              let z = float of int (x + y) in
             end
```

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double) (x + y);
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
            let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
              let z = float of int (x + y) in
              let z = z / . 2.0 in
            end
```

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
                                    even the operators are
  double z = (double) (x + y);
                                   type-safe (in OCaml)
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
            let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
              let z = float of int (x + y) in
              let z = z / . 2.0 in
             end
```

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double) (x + y);
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
            let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
              let z = float of int (x + y) in
              let z = z / . 2.0 in
              printf "Answer: %g\n" z ;
            end
```

```
commands still exist, but
double avg(int x, int y) {
                                      limited to inherently
  double z = (double) (x + y);
                                      "imperative" operations (I/O,
  z = z / 2;
                                      saving to disk, etc.)
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
             let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
               let z = float of int (x + y) in
               let z = z / . 2.0 in
               printf "Answer: %g\n" z ;
             end
```

```
double avg(int x, int y) {
  double z = (double) (x + y);
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
            let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
              let z = float of int (x + y) in
              let z = z / . 2.0 in
              printf "Answer: %g\n" z ;
            end
```

```
no "return" statement,
double avg(int x, int y) {
                                     because everything is an
  double z = (double) (x + y);
                                     expression
  z = z / 2;
  printf("Answer: %g\n", z);
  return z;
            let avg (x:int) (y:int) : float = begin
              let z = float of int (x + y) in
              let z = z / . 2.0 in
              printf "Answer: %g\n" z ;
               Z
            end
```

Examples of functional languages

Examples of functional languages

- Lisp
- OCaml/SML
- Haskell
Examples of functional languages

- Lisp
- OCaml/SML
- Haskell
- Python
- JavaScript/TypeScript
- Java (???)
- Closure
- Ruby
- etc.

Examples of functional languages

- Lisp
- OCaml/SML
- Haskell
- Python
- JavaScript/TypeScript
- Java (???)
- Closure
- Ruby
- etc.

15.27. Lambda Expressions

Here are some examples of lambda expressions:

| () -> {} | <pre>// No parameters; result is void</pre> |
|------------------------|--|
| () -> 42 | <pre>// No parameters, expression body</pre> |
| () -> null | <pre>// No parameters, expression body</pre> |
| () -> { return 42; } | // No parameters, block body with return |
| () -> { System.gc(); } | // No parameters, void block body |

- Tractable program semantics
 - Procedures are functions (simplifies reasoning)
 - Formulate and prove assertions about code more easily
 - More readable (if you like math)

- Tractable program semantics
 - Procedures are functions (simplifies reasoning)
 - Formulate and prove assertions about code more easily
 - More readable (if you like math)
- Referential transparency
 - Replace any expression by its value without changing the result

- Tractable program semantics
 - Procedures are functions (simplifies reasoning)
 - Formulate and prove assertions about code more easily
 - More readable (if you like math)
- Referential transparency
 - Replace any expression by its value without changing the result
- "No" side-effects
 - Fewer errors

- Efficiency
 - \circ Copying takes time

- Efficiency
 - Copying takes time

| Language | Speed | Space |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| C (gcc) | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| C++ (g++) | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| OCaml | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Java (JDK -server) | 1.7 | 9.1 |
| Lisp | 1.7 | 11 |
| C# (mono) | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| Python | 6.5 | 3.9 |
| Ruby | 16 | 5.0 |

- Efficiency
 - \circ Copying takes time
- Compiler implementation
 - Frequent memory allocation

| Language | Speed | Space |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| C (gcc) | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| C++ (g++) | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| OCaml | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Java (JDK -server) | 1.7 | 9.1 |
| Lisp | 1.7 | 11 |
| C# (mono) | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| Python | 6.5 | 3.9 |
| Ruby | 16 | 5.0 |

- Efficiency
 - Copying takes time
- Compiler implementation
 - Frequent memory allocation
- Unfamiliar (to you, and maybe those you're hiring!)
 - New programming style

| Language | Speed | Space |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| C (gcc) | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| C++ (g++) | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| OCaml | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Java (JDK -server) | 1.7 | 9.1 |
| Lisp | 1.7 | 11 |
| C# (mono) | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| Python | 6.5 | 3.9 |
| Ruby | 16 | 5.0 |

- Efficiency
 - Copying takes time
- Compiler implementation
 - Frequent memory allocation
- Unfamiliar (to you, and maybe those you're hiring!)
 - New programming style
- Not appropriate for every program
 - Some programs are inherently stateful

| Language | Speed | Space |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| C (gcc) | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| C++ (g++) | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| OCaml | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Java (JDK -server) | 1.7 | 9.1 |
| Lisp | 1.7 | 11 |
| C# (mono) | 2.4 | 5.6 |
| Python | 6.5 | 3.9 |
| Ruby | 16 | 5.0 |

Definition: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

Definition: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

• underlying mathematical formalism:

Definition: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

underlying mathematical formalism: type systems? dictionaries?
 o still something of an open research problem

Definition: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

- underlying mathematical formalism: type systems? dictionaries?
 o still something of an open research problem
- extraordinarily common

Definition: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

- underlying mathematical formalism: type systems? dictionaries?
 o still something of an open research problem
- extraordinarily common
- models the real world well
 - objects are good abstractions for real-world entities and concepts

• classes vs prototypes

- classes vs prototypes
 - a *class* is a template for building objects (but is not itself an object!)
 - a *prototype* is an object that is used as a template for building other objects

- classes vs prototypes
 - a *class* is a template for building objects (but is not itself an object!)
 - a *prototype* is an object that is used as a template for building other objects
- similar, but lead to **subtle differences**
 - prototypes can be modified at run time!

- classes vs prototypes
 - a *class* is a template for building objects (but is not itself an object!)
 - a *prototype* is an object that is used as a template for building other objects
- similar, but lead to subtle differenq
 - \circ prototypes can be modified at

Which of the two does Java use? What about JavaScript?

Object-oriented programming languages

Object-oriented programming languages

- Smalltalk
- Java
- C++
- C#
- Python
- JavaScript/TypeScript
- Swift
- R
- etc.

How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
 - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
 - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
 - how well do you know the language
 - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

Definition: a *type system* is a set of rules that give every program element a *type*, which is an upper bound on the set of possible values that that element can take on at run time

Definition: a *type system* is a set of rules that give every program element a *type*, which is an upper bound on the set of possible values that that element can take on at run time

• goal of a type system: prevent errors at run time due to unexpected values

Definition: a *type system* is a set of rules that give every program element a *type*, which is an upper bound on the set of possible values that that element can take on at run time

- goal of a type system: **prevent errors** at run time due to unexpected values
- **type theory** is the discipline of math (yes!) that studies the formal properties of type systems

Definition: a *type system* is a set of rules that give every program element a *type*, which is an upper bound on the set of possible values that that element can take on at run time

- goal of a type system: **prevent errors** at run time due to unexpected values
- **type theory** is the discipline of math (yes!) that studies the formal properties of type systems
- most programming languages include some kind of type system
 - exceptions: assembly, Lisp, a few others

• Static vs dynamic checking

- Static vs dynamic checking
 - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time

- Static vs dynamic checking
 - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time
 - shares advantages/disadvantages with other static analyses

- Static vs dynamic checking
 - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time
 - shares advantages/disadvantages with other static analyses
 - *dynamically typed* languages have their types checked at run time, typically by a special interpreter or language runtime

- Static vs dynamic checking
 - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time
 - shares advantages/disadvantages with other static analyses
 - *dynamically typed* languages have their types checked at run time, typically by a special interpreter or language runtime
 - shares advantages/disadvantages with other dynamic analyses

- Static vs dynamic checking
 - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time
 - shares advantages/disadvantages with other static analyses
 - *dynamically typed* languages have their types checked at run time, typically by a special interpreter or language runtime
 - shares advantages/disadvantages with other dynamic analyses
- Insight: typechecking is just another program analysis

Static vs dynamic types

• Both are **common in practice**
- Both are **common in practice**
 - \circ examples of each?

- Both are **common in practice**
 - examples of each?
 - Static: Java, C, Rust, OCaml, TypeScript, etc.
 - Dynamic: Python, Ruby, JavaScript, etc.

- Both are **common in practice**
 - examples of each?
 - Static: Java, C, Rust, OCaml, TypeScript, etc.
 - Dynamic: Python, Ruby, JavaScript, etc.
- Ongoing debate about the benefits

- Both are **common in practice**
 - \circ examples of each?
 - Static: Java, C, Rust, OCaml, TypeScript, etc.
 - Dynamic: Python, Ruby, JavaScript, etc.
- Ongoing debate about the benefits
 - Benefits of static typing:

???

• Benefits of dynamic typing:

- Both are **common in practice**
 - \circ examples of each?
 - Static: Java, C, Rust, OCaml, TypeScript, etc.
 - Dynamic: Python, Ruby, JavaScript, etc.
- Ongoing debate about the benefits
 - Benefits of static typing:
 - early detection of errors, types are documentation
 - Benefits of dynamic typing:
 - faster prototyping, no false positives

- Implicit vs explicit
 - \circ "do you write the types yourself"
 - o almost all mainstream, static languages are explicit

- \circ "do you write the types yourself"
- o almost all mainstream, static languages are explicit
- Strength of the type system
 - not all type systems can prove the same properties

- \circ "do you write the types yourself"
- almost all mainstream, static languages are explicit
- Strength of the type system
 - not all type systems can prove the same properties
 - e.g., Kotlin guarantees no null-pointer dereferences, but Java doesn't (both compile to Java bytecode)

- \circ "do you write the types yourself"
- almost all mainstream, static languages are explicit
- Strength of the type system
 - not all type systems can prove the same properties
 - e.g., Kotlin guarantees no null-pointer dereferences, but Java doesn't (both compile to Java bytecode)
 - stronger types can be added to a language (ask me more)
 - "pluggable types"

How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
 - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
 - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
 - how well do you know the language
 - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

• Key question: do the right tools for the job you need to do exist in the language?

• Key question: do the right tools for the job you need to do exist in the language?

Remember: **Don't Repeat Yourself** If someone else has already built what you need, don't build it again

- Key question: do the right tools for the job you need to do exist in the language?
- Tied to language popularity: languages that are more popular have better libraries, so people are more likely to use them
 - positive feedback loop!

- Key question: do the right tools for the job you need to do exist in the language?
- Tied to language popularity: languages that are more popular have better libraries, so people are more likely to use them
 o positive feedback loop!
- Common situation: you need library A and library B, but A is written in language L and B is written in language M
 - What to do?

```
Multi-language projects
```

• In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!

• In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!

Multi-language projects are common! Developer quote: ""My last 4 jobs have been apps that called: Java from C#, and C# from F#; Java from Ruby; Python from Tcl, C++ from Python, and C from Tcl; Java from Python, and Java from Scheme (And that's not even counting SQL, JS, OQL, etc.)""

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application

For example, concurrency might be better handled in F#/OCaml (immutable functional) or Ruby (designed to hide such details), while low-level OS or hardware access is much easier in C or C++, while rapid prototyping is much easier in Python or Lua, etc.

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application
 - but complicate many parts of software engineering

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application
 - but complicate many parts of software engineering
- Traditional architecture:

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application
 - but complicate many parts of software engineering
- Traditional architecture:
 - Application kernel is written in a statically typed, optimized, compiled language

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application
 - but complicate many parts of software engineering
- Traditional architecture:
 - Application kernel is written in a statically typed, optimized, compiled language
 - Scripts are written in a dynamically typed, interpreted language

```
Multi-language projects
```



- Traditional architecture:
 - Application kernel is written in a statically typed, optimized, compiled language
 - Scripts are written in a dynamically typed, interpreted language

C/C++ is a lingua franca



- Traditional architecture:
 - Application kernel is written in a statically typed, optimized, compiled language
 - Scripts are written in a dynamically typed, interpreted language

Another common approach: common language infrastructure
enables easy integration and interoperability

- Another common approach: *common language infrastructure* enables easy integration and interoperability
- Examples:
 - .NET framework (Microsoft)
 - C++, C#, J#, F#, Visual Basic, etc.
 - Java bytecode + Java virtual machine
 - Java, Scala, Kotlin, Closure, etc.
 - LLVM bytecode
 - etc.

• Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult

- Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult
- **Debugging** can be harder
 - Especially as values flow and control flow from language A to language B

- Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult
- **Debugging** can be harder
 - Especially as values flow and control flow from language A to language B
- Build process (next week) becomes more complicated

- Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult
- **Debugging** can be harder
 - Especially as values flow and control flow from language A to language B
- Build process (next class) becomes more complicated
- **Developer expertise** is required in multiple languages
 - Must understand types (etc.) in all languages

- Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult
- **Debugging** can be harder
 - Especially as values flow and control flow from language A to language B
- Build process (next class) becomes more complicated
- **Developer expertise** is required in multiple languages
 - Must understand types (etc.) in all languages
- Most tools are language specific: testing frameworks (+ generation, coverage, etc.), static analysis, build systems, debuggers, etc.

How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
 - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
 - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
 - how well do you know the language
 - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

Language performance

• Three **main axes** to trade-off between languages:
Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
 • Performance ("how fast do programs run")

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
 - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
 - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
 - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
 - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
 - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
 - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
 - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
 - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Different languages choose different trade-offs. Examples:

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
 - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
 - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
 - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Different languages choose different trade-offs. Examples:
 - Rust: good performance and safety, hard to write

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
 - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
 - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
 - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Different languages choose different trade-offs. Examples:
 - Rust: good performance and safety, hard to write
 - Python: easy to write, okay safety, slow

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
 - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
 - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
 - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Different languages choose different trade-offs. Examples:
 - Rust: good performance and safety, hard to write
 - Python: easy to write, okay safety, slow
 - C: good performance, easy-ish to write, very unsafe

• #1: safety features enforced at run time

- #1: safety features enforced at run time
 - dynamic type checking: type safety
 - garbage collection: memory safety
 - exceptions: segfault safety

- #1: safety features enforced at run time
 - dynamic type checking: type safety
 - garbage collection: memory safety
 - exceptions: segfault safety
- Also relevant: **optimizations**

- #1: safety features enforced at run time
 - dynamic type checking: type safety
 - garbage collection: memory safety
 - exceptions: segfault safety
- Also relevant: **optimizations**
 - interpreted languages almost always slower: no optimizing compiler

- #1: safety features enforced at run time
 - dynamic type checking: type safety
 - garbage collection: memory safety
 - exceptions: segfault safety
- Also relevant: **optimizations**
 - interpreted languages almost always slower: no optimizing compiler
 - JITs (*just-in-time compilers*) can produce surprisingly fast code
 - e.g., Java Virtual Machine

• #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?
 - requires **static analysis** (= there will be false positives)

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?
 - requires **static analysis** (= there will be false positives)
 - harder for programmers (trades off against effort)

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?
 - requires **static analysis** (= there will be false positives)
 - harder for programmers (trades off against effort)
 - the garbage collector in Java/Go/etc. is automatic
 - but writing Rust code requires follows its (complex) type discipline

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?
 - requires **static analysis** (= there will be false positives)
 - harder for programmers (trades off against effort)
 - the garbage collector in Java/Go/etc. is automatic
 - but writing Rust code requires follows its (complex) type discipline
 - bottom line: statically safe languages can be faster, but are generally harder to program in

How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
 - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
 - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
 - how well do you know the language
 - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

• Learning a new programming language takes time

- Learning a new programming language takes time
 - Becoming productive shouldn't take that long
 - but, this scales with how hard the language is to program in (+ access to mentors, etc.)

- Learning a new programming language takes time
 - Becoming productive shouldn't take that long
 - but, this scales with how hard the language is to program in (+ access to mentors, etc.)
 - Becoming an expert takes a long time!

- Learning a new programming language takes time
 - Becoming productive shouldn't take that long
 - but, this scales with how hard the language is to program in (+ access to mentors, etc.)
 - Becoming an expert takes a long time!
- If you need performance, you usually need at least one expert
 - cf. AWS employs some JVM experts to tune the garbage collector for AWS services that use Java

Implication: if you're going to need an expert, make sure you have one! This often seriously limits your choice of languages in practice :(

- Becoming an expert takes a long time!
- If you need performance, you usually need at least one expert
 - cf. AWS employs some JVM experts to tune the garbage collector for AWS services that use Java

• Because learning a new language takes time, the **popularity** of a language is also a plus:

- Because learning a new language takes time, the **popularity** of a language is also a plus:
 - it's easier to hire new engineers who already know the language, and therefore can ramp up faster

- Because learning a new language takes time, the **popularity** of a language is also a plus:
 - it's easier to hire new engineers who already know the language, and therefore can ramp up faster
 - but this impact is relatively small over a typical engineer's tenure at a company

- Because learning a new language takes time, the **popularity** of a language is also a plus:
 - it's easier to hire new engineers who already know the language, and therefore can ramp up faster
 - but this impact is relatively small over a typical engineer's tenure at a company
- Implication: if all else is equal, choose the more popular language

- the reading talked about moving a service from one language to another
 - why?

- the reading talked about moving a service from one language to another
 - why? Performance problems.

- the reading talked about moving a service from one language to another
 - why? Performance problems.
- This is usually a **risky thing** to do:
 - you're not building new features
 - integration problems
 - will the benefits be worth it?

- the reading talked about moving a service from one language to another
 - why? Performance problems.
- This is usually a **risky thing** to do:
 - you're not building new features
 - integration problems
 - will the **K** Implication: rewriting is a good idea if you're confident that the benefits of the new language are worthwhile, but be cautious: it can expensive!

Takeaways

- there is a wider world of languages than just imperative and object-oriented (but those are the most popular)
 - learning to write functional code can make you a better programmer
- different programming languages have different trade-offs
 performance vs safety vs ease of use vs ...
- when starting a new project, think carefully about the requirements before choosing a language
- rewrite a project in a new language only after careful consideration