# Code Review

Martin Kellogg

## **Code Review**

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides on interviewing
- Reading Quiz
- What is code review (and why we do it)
- How to do a code review (with empirical evidence)
- Good and bad examples of code review comments

#### Interview format

- "For about 45 minutes you meet with a single technical interviewer, who will present a programming problem and ask you to work out one or more solutions to it."
  - some variations of this, such as "tell me about a technical problem you've solved" and "design (but don't implement) a solution to this problem"
- Interviewer perspective: "you know in the first ten minutes"

## Example interview problem

"The Two-Sum Problem":

- You are given an array of n integers and a number k. Determine if there is a pair of elements in the array that sums to exactly k.
- For example, given the array [1, 3, 7] and k = 8, the answer is "yes," but given k = 6 the answer is "no."

## Example interview problem

"The Two-Sum Problem":

- You are given an array of n integers and a number k. Determine if there is a pair of elements in the array that sums to exactly k.
- For example, given the array [1, 3, 7] and k = 8, the answer is "yes," but given k = 6 the answer is "no."

What do you do first? (Hint: it's not trying to solve the problem!)

#### Example interview problem: ask questions!

## Example interview problem: ask questions!

- Can you modify the array? Yes.
- Do we know something about the range of the numbers in the array? No, they can be arbitrary integers.
- Are the array elements necessarily positive? No, they can be positive, negative, or zero.
- Do we know anything about the value of k relative to n or the numbers in the array? No, it can be arbitrary.
- Can we consider pairs of an element and itself? No, the pair should consist of two different array elements.
- Can the array contain duplicates? Sure, that's a possibility.
- What about integer overflow? Don't worry about it.

• don't prematurely optimize your solution: write something that works

- don't prematurely optimize your solution: write something that works
- e.g., for the two-sum problem, you could write:

- don't prematurely optimize your solution: write something that works
- e.g., for the two-sum problem, you could write:

```
boolean sumsToTarget (int[]arr, int k) {
  for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    for (int j = i + 1; j < arr.length; j++) {
        if (arr[i] + arr[j] == k) {
            return true;
        } }
    return false;
}
Usually at this point the
interviewer will ask you
about how good this
solution is.</pre>
```

- don't prematurely optimize your solution: write something that works
- e.g., for the two-sum problem, you could write:

```
boolean sumsToTarget (int[]arr, int k) {
  for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    for (int j = i + 1; j < arr.length; j++) {
        if (arr[i] + arr[j] == k) {
            return true;
            } }
        turn false;
    }
}
Usually at this point the
    interviewer will ask you
        about how good this
        solution is. O(n^2) time!</pre>
```

## Example interview problem: be clever

• once you have something that works, try to come up with a clever solution

#### Example interview problem: be clever

- once you have something that works, try to come up with a clever solution
- e.g., for the two-sum problem, you might come up with:

```
boolean sumsToTarget (int[]arr, int k) {
  HashSet < Integer > values = new HashSet < Integer > ();
  for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    if (values.contains (k - A[i])) return true;
    values.add (A[i]);
  }
  return false;</pre>
```

#### Example interview problem: be clever

- once you have something that works, try to come up with a clever solution
- e.g., for the two-sum problem, you might come up with:

```
boolean sumsToTarget (int[]arr, int k) {
  HashSet < Integer > values = new HashSet < Integer > ();
  for (int i = 0; i < arr.length; i++) {
    if (values.contains (k - A[i])) return true;
    values.add (A[i]);
  }
  return false;
  Why is this better?</pre>
```

## Example interview problem

- there are lots of possible solutions to the problem
- part of your goal while you're interviewing is showing that you understand the trade-offs between them
- think of interviewing as a microcosm of software engineering:
  - if you don't show them you know it, they'll assume you don't

## Example interview problem

- there are lots of possible solutions to the problem
- part of your goal while you're interviewing is showing that you understand the trade-offs between them
- think of interviewing as a **microcosm** of software engineering:
  - if you don't show them you know it, they'll assume you don't
  - implication: even though the interview problem is small and simple, you show try to show all the steps of the software engineering process

# Do Not Forget!

Even though the problem is small, you should:

- perform requirements elicitation (ask questions!)
- ask about both functional and non-functional properties
- talk about process considerations
  - e.g., mention maintainability when relevant
- write **good quality code**, including e.g., documentation, tests, etc.
  - mention things you'd be thinking about if this was part of a real system

## Interviewing mistakes

- #1 Practicing on a computer
- #2 Not rehearsing behavioral questions
- #3 Not doing a mock interview
- #4 Trying to memorize solutions
- #5 Not solving problems out loud
- #6 Rushing
- #7 Sloppy coding (bad style)
- #8 Not testing
- #9 Fixing mistakes carelessly#10 Giving up

[Gayle McDowell, Cracking the Coding Interview]

## Interviewing mistakes

- #1 Practicing on a computer
- **#2 Not rehearsing behavioral questions**
- #3 Not doing a mock interview
- #4 Trying to memorize solutions
- #5 Not solving problems out loud
- #6 Rushing
- #7 Sloppy coding (bad style)
- #8 Not testing
- #9 Fixing mistakes carelessly
  #10 Giving up

[Gayle McDowell, Cracking the Coding Interview]

Remember, they want to know if you can **communicate well** and whether you are **nice** 

• implication: they will ask questions to try to find out!

Remember, they want to know if you can **communicate well** and whether you are **nice** 

- implication: they will ask questions to try to find out!
  - What is your greatest weakness?
  - Tell me about a time you missed a deadline.
  - Tell me about a time you experienced a conflict with a teammate.

Remember, they want to know if you can **communicate well** and whether you are **nice** 

- implication: they will ask questions to try to find out!
  - What is your greatest weakness?
  - Tell me about a time you missed a deadline.
  - Tell me about a time you experienced a conflict with a teammate.

It's easy to sound unimpressive if you haven't thought about your answers ahead of time.

Remember, they want to know if you can **communicate well** and whether you are **nice** 

• implication: they will ask questions to try to find out!

r greatest weakness?

How can they tell if you are nice?

It a time you missed a deadline. It a time you experienced a conflict with a

Remember, they want to know if you can **communicate well** and whether you are **nice** 

• implication: they will ask questions to try to find out!

r greatest weakness?

How can they tell if you are nice? lunch! ut a time you missed a deadline. ut a time you experienced a conflict with a

#### Interviewing: the other side

### Interviewing: the other side

- Choose the technical problem you ask carefully
  - Common solution: use the "best" interview question you've ever been asked
  - Alternative: base the problem on something you personally had to deal with at work
- Think through all the possible solutions to the problem
- Remember that it's stressful for the person being interviewed!

### Interviewing: does it work?

- The answer is that we don't really know
- Technical interviews haven't been studied in depth
- But they're the industry standard, so we have to deal with them
- Open area of research!

# Teams/Interviewing Takeaways

- How you organize your team can have a big impact on your productivity
- Communication is key
- For the group project, especially, make sure you decide on how you'll make decisions (no one is the manager!)
- Interviewing is a microcosm of software engineering
  - Show the interviewer what you know, even if it seems like too much for the problem at hand

## **Code Review**

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides on interviewing
- Reading Quiz
- What is code review (and why we do it)
- How to do a code review (with empirical evidence)
- Good and bad examples of code review comments

# Reading Quiz: Code Review

Q1: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: Because aspects of software design are usually a pure style issue or just a personal preference, the Google style guide says that it is okay to ignore reviewer feedback that you disagree with, as long as you are polite.

Q2: If you ask a developer to explain a piece of code that you don't understand while reviewing, that should usually result in:

- **A.** a comment in the code review tool that explains the code
- **B.** rewriting the code to make it more clear
- **C.** a code comment explaining the code

# Reading Quiz: Code Review

Q1: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: Because aspects of software design are usually a pure style issue or just a personal preference, the Google style guide says that it is okay to ignore reviewer feedback that you disagree with, as long as you are polite.

Q2: If you ask a developer to explain a piece of code that you don't understand while reviewing, that should usually result in:

- **A.** a comment in the code review tool that explains the code
- **B.** rewriting the code to make it more clear
- **C.** a code comment explaining the code

# Reading Quiz: Code Review

Q1: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: Because aspects of software design are usually a pure style issue or just a personal preference, the Google style guide says that it is okay to ignore reviewer feedback that you disagree with, as long as you are polite.

Q2: If you ask a developer to explain a piece of code that you don't understand while reviewing, that should usually result in:

- **A.** a comment in the code review tool that explains the code
- **B.** rewriting the code to make it more clear
- **C.** a code comment explaining the code

## **Code Review**

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides on interviewing
- Reading Quiz
- What is code review (and why we do it)
- How to do a code review (with empirical evidence)
- Good and bad examples of code review comments

#### What is code review?

**Definition:** In a *code review*, another developer examines your proposed change and explanation, offers feedback, and decides whether to accept it.

### What is code review?

**Definition:** In a *code review*, another developer examines your proposed change and explanation, offers feedback, and decides whether to accept it.

- There is significant tool support for "modern" code review
  - We'll talk about this in more depth later in this lecture

# Analogy: writing

Compare the effectiveness of:

- spell checking your own writing
- reading and editing your own writing
- having your writing be edited by someone else
# Analogy: writing

Compare the effectiveness of:

- spell checking your own writing
- reading and editing your own writing
- having your writing be edited by someone else

Professional writers have **editors**; professional software engineers have **code reviewers** 

• Historically, "code review" used to refer to what we now call *code inspection* or *holistic code review*.

• Historically, "code review" used to refer to what we now call *code inspection* or *holistic code review*.

**Definition:** a *holistic code review* is a code review of an entire component of a software system as a whole.

• Historically, "code review" used to refer to what we now call *code inspection* or *holistic code review*.

**Definition:** a *holistic code review* is a code review of an entire component of a software system as a whole.

• Typically, "code inspection" suggests that a team of reviewers is involved, while "holistic code review" suggests a single reviewer (but these are connotations, not rules)

 Historically, "code review" used to refer to what we now call code inspection or holistic code review.

**Definition:** a *holistic code review* is a code component of a software system as a who

• Typically, "code inspection" sugges is involved, while "holistic code re reviewer (but these are connotation

History fact: there was a lot of interest (and research) into code inspection in the 80s/90s (at the same time that Waterfall was the dominant methodology)

• Unlike code inspections or holistic reviews, modern code reviews are performed at the changeset granularity

• Unlike code inspections or holistic reviews, modern code reviews are performed at the changeset granularity

**Definition:** a *modern code review* is a review of a set of proposed changes to a codebase, typically performed by another developer who is already familiar with the code being changed

• Unlike code inspections or holistic reviews, modern code reviews are performed at the changeset granularity

**Definition:** a *modern code review* is a review of a set of proposed changes to a codebase, typically performed by another developer who is already familiar with the code being changed

- Inductive argument for code quality:
  - $\circ~$  if v(n) is good, and the diff between v(n) and v(n+1) is good, then v(n+1) is good

Unlike code inspections or holistic reviews, modern code reviews are performed at the changeset granularity

Definition: a modern cod changes to a codebase, ty who is already familiar w

One reason you should care about this lecture: you are required to do modern code reviews on all code that you write for your group project Inductive argume

bsed

eloper

1) is

if v(n) is good, Ο good, then v(n+1) is good

# Modern code review: intuition

• "Given enough eyeballs, all bugs are shallow." – Linus's Law

# Modern code review: intuition

- "Given enough eyeballs, all bugs are shallow." Linus's Law
- Reviewer has:
  - different background, different experience
  - no preconceived idea of correctness
  - no bias because of "what was intended"

## Modern code review: intuition

"Breadth of experience in an individual is essential to creativity and hence to good engineering. ... Collective diversity, or diversity of the group - the kind of diversity that people usually talk about - is just as essential to good engineering as individual diversity. ... Those **differences in experience are the "gene pool" from which creativity springs**."

- Bill Wulf, National Academy of Engineering President

 Modern code review is considered a best practice almost everywhere in industry

"All code that gets submitted **needs to be reviewed** by at least one other person, and either the code writer or the reviewer needs to have readability in that language. Most people use Mondrian to do code reviews, and obviously, **we spend a good chunk of our time reviewing code**."

- Amanda Camp, Software Engineer, Google

"At Yelp we use review-board. An engineer works on a branch and commits the code to their own branch. The reviewer then goes through the diff, adds inline comments on review board and sends them back. The reviews are meant to be a dialogue, so typically comment threads result from the feedback. Once the reviewer's questions and concerns are all addressed they'll click "Ship It!" and the author will merge it with the main branch for deployment the same day."

- Alan Fineberg, Software Engineer, Yelp

"At Wizards we use Perforce for SCM. I work with stuff that manages rules and content, so we try to commit changes at the granularity of one bug at a time or one card at a time. Our team is small enough that you can designate one other person on team as a code reviewer. Usually you look at code sometime that week, but it depends on priority. It's impossible to write sufficient test harnesses for the bulk of our game code, so code reviews are absolutely critical."

- Jake Englund, Software Engineer, MtGO

"At Facebook, we have an internally-developed web-based tool to aid the code review process. Once an engineer has prepared a change, she submits it to this tool, which will notify the person or people she has asked to review the change, along with others that may be interested in the change – such as people who have worked on a function that got changed. At this point, the reviewers can make comments, ask questions, request changes, or accept the changes. If changes are requested, the submitter must submit a new version of the change to be reviewed. All versions submitted are retained, so reviewers can compare the change to the original, or just changes from the last version they reviewed. Once a change has been submitted, the engineer can merge her change into the main source tree for deployment to the site during the next weekly push, or earlier if the change warrants guicker release."

Ryan McElroy, Software Engineer, Facebook

- Modern code review is considered a best practice almost everywhere in industry
- While each place has their own way of doing reviews, the broad strokes are common between companies

- > 1 person has seen every piece of code
  - **Insurance** against author's disappearance (recall: bus factor)
  - Accountability (both author and reviewers are accountable)

- > 1 person has seen every piece of code
  - Insurance against author's disappearance (recall: bus factor)
  - Accountability (both author and reviewers are accountable)
- Forcing function for documentation and code improvements
  - Authors must articulate their decisions
  - Prospect of a review raises your quality threshold

- > 1 person has seen every piece of code
  - Insurance against author's disappearance (recall: bus factor)
  - Accountability (both author and reviewers are accountable)
- Forcing function for documentation and code improvements
  - Authors must articulate their decisions
  - Prospect of a review raises your quality threshold
- Inexperienced personnel get experience without hurting quality
  - Pairing them up with experienced developers
  - Can learn by being a reviewer as well

- > 1 person has seen every piece of code
  - Insurance against
    Accountability (t
- Forcing function for
  - Authors must art
  - Prospect of a rev
- Inexperienced persor
  - Pairing them up w
  - Can learn by being a reviewer as well

**Non-goal:** assessing whether the author is good at their job

 managers/HR shouldn't be involved in code review us factor) untable) nents

g quality

#### Modern code review: benefits by the numbers

## Modern code review: benefits by the numbers

- Average defect detection rates higher than testing
- 11 programs developed by the same group of people
  - First 5 without reviews: average 4.5 errors / 100 LoC
  - Remaining 6 with reviews: average 0.82 errors / 100 LoC
  - Errors reduced by > 80%.
- IBM's Orbit project: 500,000 lines, 11 levels of inspections. Delivered early with 1% of the predicted errors.
- After AT&T introduced reviews, 14% increase in productivity and a 90% decrease in defects.

(From Steve McConnell's <u>Code Complete</u>)

# **Code Review**

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides on interviewing
- Reading Quiz
- What is code review (and why we do it)
- How to do a code review (with empirical evidence)
- Good and bad examples of code review comments

• Review it yourself

- Review it yourself
- Make sure the diff is clean

- Review it yourself
- Make sure the diff is clean

#### Avoid:

- extraneous whitespace changes
- debugging code
- commented-out code
- style guide violations
- undocumented code
- etc.

- Review it yourself
- Make sure the diff is clean
- Choose the right reviewers

- Review it yourself
- Make sure the diff is clean
- Choose the right reviewers

#### Factors to consider in a reviewer:

- availability (how many reviews are they already working on?)
- code ownership
- code expertise
- readability

- Review it yourself
- Make sure the diff is clean
- Choose the right reviewers

#### Factors to consider in a reviewer:

- availability (how many reviews are they already working on?)
- code ownership
- code expertise
- readability

## Aside: "readability"

### How to do a code review: Google's principles
• Technical facts and data overrule opinions and personal preferences.

- Technical facts and data overrule opinions and personal preferences.
- On matters of style, the **style guide** is the absolute authority

- Technical facts and data overrule opinions and personal preferences.
- On matters of style, the **style guide** is the absolute authority
- Aspects of software design are almost never a pure style issue or just a personal preference.

- Technical facts and data overrule opinions and personal preferences.
- On matters of style, the **style guide** is the absolute authority
- Aspects of software design are almost never a pure style issue or just a personal preference.
  - weigh options on **principles**, not simply by personal opinion

- Technical facts and data overrule opinions and personal preferences.
- On matters of style, the **style guide** is the absolute authority
- Aspects of software design are almost never a pure style issue or just a personal preference.
  - weigh options on principles, not simply by personal opinion
- If no other rule applies, then the reviewer may ask the author to be consistent with what is in the current codebase

- Technical facts and data overrule opinions and personal preferences.
- On matters of style, the **style guide** is the absolute authority
- Aspects of software design are almost never a pure style issue or just a personal preference.
  - weigh options on **principles**, not simply by personal opinion
- If no other rule applies, then the reviewer may ask the author to be consistent with what is in the current codebase
- reviewers should favor approving a CL once it is in a state where it definitely **improves the overall code health** of the system

- I'll add one more:
  - Don't be a jerk

• Design/complexity:

- Design/complexity:
  - Does this change **belong** in *this* code, or somewhere else?

- Design/complexity:
  - Does this change **belong** in *this* code, or somewhere else?
  - Are all the parts of the change related enough, or should this really be two (or more) PRs

- Design/complexity:
  - Does this change **belong** in *this* code, or somewhere else?
  - Are all the parts of the change related enough, or should this really be two (or more) PRs
  - Is it easy to understand how the parts fit together?

- Design/complexity:
  - Does this change **belong** in *this* code, or somewhere else?
  - Are all the parts of the change related enough, or should this really be two (or more) PRs
  - Is it easy to understand how the parts fit together?
  - Does each unit of code (class, method, etc.) follow good
    code-level design principles?

- Design/complexity:
  - Does this change **belong** in *this* code, or somewhere else?
  - Are all the parts of the change related enough, or should this really be two (or more) PRs
  - Is it easy to understand how the parts fit together?
  - Does each unit of code (class, method, etc.) follow good
    code-level design principles?
  - Is it over-engineered?

**Definition:** a design is *over-engineered* if it anticipates problems for which will never occur in practice

**Definition:** a design is *over-engineered* if it anticipates problems for which will never occur in practice

• over-engineered code is harder to change, because it has abstractions that aren't necessary

**Definition:** a design is *over-engineered* if it anticipates problems for which will never occur in practice

- over-engineered code is harder to change, because it has abstractions that aren't necessary
- defense against over-engineering: do not add an abstraction to deal with a **purely theoretical** problem
  - demand to see evidence that a problem actually exists!

**Definition:** a design is *over-engineered* if it anticipates problems for which will never occur in practice

- over-engineered code is harder to change, because it has abstractions that aren't necessary
- defense against over-engined deal with a **purely theore** 
  - demand to see evider

Large danger of over-engineering in code reviews: designing for changes that you don't know whether you will need. Advice: err on the side of not supporting such changes

• Functionality/testing:

- Functionality/testing:
  - Is it clear what the change is **supposed** to do?

- Functionality/testing:
  - Is it clear what the change is **supposed** to do?
  - Are there **tests**? Are the tests testing the right thing?

- Functionality/testing:
  - Is it clear what the change is **supposed** to do?
  - Are there **tests**? Are the tests testing the right thing?
  - Is it a change to a user interface? If so, has someone actually looked at the new UI?

- Functionality/testing:
  - Is it clear what the change is **supposed** to do?
  - Are there **tests**? Are the tests testing the right thing?
  - Is it a change to a user interface? If so, has someone actually looked at the new UI?

Especially relevant for **course projects**, since Covey.Town is UI-heavy

- Functionality/testing:
  - Is it clear what the change is **supposed** to do?
  - Are there **tests**? Are the tests testing the right thing?
  - Is it a change to a user interface? If so, has someone actually looked at the new UI?
  - Is the code doing something difficult to understand (such as concurrency)?

- Functionality/testing:
  - Is it clear what the change is **supposed** to do?
  - Are there **tests**? Are the tests testing the right thing?
  - Is it a change to a user interface? If so, has someone actually looked at the new UI?
  - Is the code doing something difficult to understand (such as concurrency)?
    - If so, pay extra attention and prove to yourself that it is correct.

• **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.
- **Balance** giving explicit directions with just pointing out problems and letting the developer decide.

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.
- **Balance** giving explicit directions with just pointing out problems and letting the developer decide.

"In general it is the **developer's responsibility** to fix a CL, not the reviewer's"

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.
- **Balance** giving explicit directions with just pointing out problems and letting the developer decide.
- Insist developers simplify code or add code comments instead of just explaining the complexity to you.

- **Be kind**, courteous, and respectful.
- **Explain** your reasoning.
- **Balance** giving explicit directions with just pointing out problems and letting the developer decide.
- Insist developers simplify code or add code comments instead of just explaining the complexity to you.

"Explanations written only in the code review tool are not helpful to future code readers"

• Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
  - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
  - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.

Usually authors treat comments without a severity level as must fix

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
  - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.
  - Nit: This is a minor thing. Technically you should do it, but it won't hugely impact things.

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
  - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.
  - Nit: This is a minor thing. Technically you should do it, but it won't hugely impact things.
  - **Optional**: I think this may be a good idea, but it's not strictly required.
# How to write code review comments: severity

- Label comments with their **severity**, to avoid misunderstandings:
  - Must Fix: I don't think I can approve this CL until this problem is fixed, even if everything else is perfect.
  - Nit: This is a minor thing. Technically you should do it, but it won't hugely impact things.
  - **Optional**: I think this may be a good idea, but it's not strictly required.
  - **FYI**: I don't expect you to do this in this CL, but you may find this interesting to think about for the future.

• Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.

• Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.

If you get **pushback** on a suggestion, take the time to understand why

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.

Try to get back to the author within "**one business day**", whatever that means for your team

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax

Common mistake: "LGTM" everything for the sake of speed

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax
- Being inconsistent

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax
- Being inconsistent

I've had reviewers ask for one thing, which I do, and then ask for something completely different a week later. **Read your previous review!** 

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax
- Being inconsistent
- Letting complexity through with a promise to clean up later

- Being **condescending**, especially if you're wrong.
- Taking **too long** to complete a review.
- Being too lax
- Being inconsistent
- Letting complexity through with a promise to clean up later

Doesn't usually happen! If the problem is serious, insist on fixing it now!

• Respond to every comment

Making a code change counts as a response! Don't write "fixed" or similar on every comment.

- Respond to every comment
- If you fix something in one place, fix it everywhere

As a reviewer, it is very tedious to point out every place that an author has made the same mistake.

- Respond to every comment
- If you fix something in one place, fix it everywhere
- Assume good faith

- Respond to every comment
- If you fix something in one place, fix it everywhere
- Assume **good faith**
- Address comments by changing the code, not by explaining in the review tool

- Recommendation: Do not exceed 60 minute session
- Reason: focus fatigue



Defect Density vs. Inspection Rate

- Recommendation: Don't review more than 400 LoC per hour
- Reason: at faster paces, reviews get too shallow



[Code Review at Cisco Systems. In J A Cohen et al.'s Best Kept Secrets of Peer Code Review, 2013.]

Defect Density vs. Inspection Rate

- Recommendation:
   Don't review more
   than 400 LoC per
   hour
- Reason: at faster paces, reviews get too shallow



[Code Review at Cisco Systems. In J A Cohen et al.'s Best Kept Secrets of Peer Code Review, 2013.]



# **Code Review**

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides on interviewing
- Reading Quiz
- What is code review (and why we do it)
- How to do a code review (with empirical evidence)
- Good and bad examples of code review comments

[Many of the examples in the following slides borrowed from Sandya Sankarram's <u>"Unlearning toxic behaviors in a code review culture"</u>]

108 +		
extra sp	2 minutes ago	
Reply		
Start a new co	onversation	
109 +	navItems: [],	
extra sp	2 minutes ago	
Reply		
Start a new co	onversation	
110 +	currentChannel: '927',	
extra sp	2 minutes ago pace	
Reply		
Start a new co		
111 + 112 +		
extra sp	2 minutes ago pace	
Reply		



112	- todang, rate, 08 + videos: [],		
100	ssnkr 2 minutes ago extra space		
<b>10</b>	Reply		
84	ssnkr commented 2 minutes	ago	+ 😐 🖋 🗙
	The state of the state of the state of		and the second second second second
		me trailing spaces on several lines of your	change set. Our style guide
		me trailing spaces on several lines of your ace. Can you take a look at this?	change set. Our style guide
			change set. Our style guide
	specifies no trailing whitespa		change set. Our style guide
۲ <b>کا</b>	specifies no trailing whitespa		change set. Our style guide
	specifies no trailing whitespa		change set. Our style guide
Start a r	specifies no trailing whitespa		change set. Our style guide
Start a r	specifies no trailing whitespa		change set. Our style guide
Start a r	specifies no trailing whitespa         Reply         11         11         12         +         Loading: false,		change set. Our style guide

ssnkr 2 minutes ago   extra space     Reply     ssnkr commented 2 minutes ago	BETTER: consolidate the comment in one place rather than repeating yourself
Looks like you checked in some trailing spaces of	on several lines of your change set. Our style guide
specifies no trailing whitespace. Can you take a	









ssnkr commented 2 minutes ago

LGTM 💯 🎉

**OK:** emojis and similar "casual" language should only be used to praise, never to criticize

anon-reviewer

I don't mean we're mean-spirited. I just mean that we are merciless. You'll notice that I left the comment "Beep!" on the imports of every file you touched. What I meant was, "Your imports violate our standard convention — we order them by built-ins, then third party, and then project level," but that was too much to type on every file.

anon-reviewer

I don't mean we're mean-spirited. I just mean that we are merciless. You'll notice that I left the comment "Beep!" on the imports of every file you touched. What I meant was, "Your imports violate our

standard convention and then project I

#### **VERY BAD!**

rude, condescending, and sarcastic. Be helpful, not antagonistic

anon-reviewer

This breaks when you enter a negative number. Can you please address this case?

anon-reviewer

This breaks when you enter a negative number. Can you please address this case?

**GOOD:** straight to the point, politely points out a technical problem

# Takeaways

- Code review is one of the best ways to prevent defects
  - You must do it during the course project (I will check!)
- Be nice as both an author and a reviewer
  - Respect each other and each other's time
- One thing I'll look for when assessing your group project is the quality of your code reviews
  - If you're unsure, you can ask the course staff to review your reviews (in office hours)