# Languages + Build Systems

Martin Kellogg

# Languages and Build Systems

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides on Languages
  - paradigms, type systems, multilanguage projects, performance, team and process factors, when to rewrite
- What is a build system? How does one work?
- How to choose a build system + best practices

- Tractable program semantics
  - Procedures are functions (simplifies reasoning)
  - Formulate and prove assertions about code more easily
  - More readable (if you like math)

- Tractable program semantics
  - Procedures are functions (simplifies reasoning)
  - Formulate and prove assertions about code more easily
  - More readable (if you like math)
- Referential transparency
  - Replace any expression by its value without changing the result

- Tractable program semantics
  - Procedures are functions (simplifies reasoning)
  - Formulate and prove assertions about code more easily
  - More readable (if you like math)
- Referential transparency
  - Replace any expression by its value without changing the result
- "No" side-effects
  - Fewer errors

- Efficiency
  - $\circ$  Copying takes time

- Efficiency
  - Copying takes time

Language	Speed	Space
C (gcc)	1.0	1.1
C++ (g++)	1.0	1.6
OCaml	1.5	2.9
Java (JDK -server)	1.7	9.1
Lisp	1.7	11
C# (mono)	2.4	5.6
Python	6.5	3.9
Ruby	16	5.0

- Efficiency
  - $\circ$  Copying takes time
- Compiler implementation
  - Frequent memory allocation

Language	Speed	Space
C (gcc)	1.0	1.1
C++ (g++)	1.0	1.6
OCaml	1.5	2.9
Java (JDK -server)	1.7	9.1
Lisp	1.7	11
C# (mono)	2.4	5.6
Python	6.5	3.9
Ruby	16	5.0

- Efficiency
  - Copying takes time
- Compiler implementation
  - Frequent memory allocation
- Unfamiliar (to you, and maybe those you're hiring!)
  - New programming style

Language	Speed	Space
C (gcc)	1.0	1.1
C++ (g++)	1.0	1.6
OCaml	1.5	2.9
Java (JDK -server)	1.7	9.1
Lisp	1.7	11
C# (mono)	2.4	5.6
Python	6.5	3.9
Ruby	16	5.0

- Efficiency
  - Copying takes time
- Compiler implementation
  - Frequent memory allocation
- Unfamiliar (to you, and maybe those you're hiring!)
  - New programming style
- Not appropriate for every program
  - Some programs are inherently stateful

Language	Speed	Space
C (gcc)	1.0	1.1
C++ (g++)	1.0	1.6
OCaml	1.5	2.9
Java (JDK -server)	1.7	9.1
Lisp	1.7	11
C# (mono)	2.4	5.6
Python	6.5	3.9
Ruby	16	5.0

**Definition**: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

**Definition**: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

• underlying mathematical formalism:

**Definition**: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

underlying mathematical formalism: type systems? dictionaries?
 o still something of an open research problem

**Definition**: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

- underlying mathematical formalism: type systems? dictionaries?
  o still something of an open research problem
- extraordinarily common

**Definition**: in the *object-oriented* paradigm, programs are composed of interacting objects, each of which is responsible for some well-defined part of the program's state

- underlying mathematical formalism: type systems? dictionaries?
  o still something of an open research problem
- extraordinarily common
- models the real world well
  - objects are good abstractions for real-world entities and concepts

• classes vs prototypes

- classes vs prototypes
  - a *class* is a template for building objects (but is not itself an object!)
  - a *prototype* is an object that is used as a template for building other objects

- classes vs prototypes
  - a *class* is a template for building objects (but is not itself an object!)
  - a *prototype* is an object that is used as a template for building other objects
- similar, but lead to **subtle differences** 
  - prototypes can be modified at run time!

- classes vs prototypes
  - a *class* is a template for building objects (but is not itself an object!)
  - a *prototype* is an object that is used as a template for building other objects
- similar, but lead to subtle differenq
  - $\circ$  prototypes can be modified at

Which of the two does Java use? What about JavaScript?

# How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
  - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
  - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
  - how well do you know the language
  - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

**Definition**: a *type system* is a set of rules that give every program element a *type*, which is an upper bound on the set of possible values that that element can take on at run time

**Definition**: a *type system* is a set of rules that give every program element a *type*, which is an upper bound on the set of possible values that that element can take on at run time

• goal of a type system: prevent errors at run time due to unexpected values

**Definition**: a *type system* is a set of rules that give every program element a *type*, which is an upper bound on the set of possible values that that element can take on at run time

- goal of a type system: **prevent errors** at run time due to unexpected values
- **type theory** is the discipline of math (yes!) that studies the formal properties of type systems

**Definition**: a *type system* is a set of rules that give every program element a *type*, which is an upper bound on the set of possible values that that element can take on at run time

- goal of a type system: **prevent errors** at run time due to unexpected values
- **type theory** is the discipline of math (yes!) that studies the formal properties of type systems
- most programming languages include some kind of type system
  - exceptions: assembly, Lisp, a few others

• Static vs dynamic checking

- Static vs dynamic checking
  - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time

- Static vs dynamic checking
  - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time
    - shares advantages/disadvantages with other static analyses

- Static vs dynamic checking
  - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time
    - shares advantages/disadvantages with other static analyses
  - *dynamically typed* languages have their types checked at run time, typically by a special interpreter or language runtime

- Static vs dynamic checking
  - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time
    - shares advantages/disadvantages with other static analyses
  - *dynamically typed* languages have their types checked at run time, typically by a special interpreter or language runtime
    - shares advantages/disadvantages with other dynamic analyses

- Static vs dynamic checking
  - statically typed languages have their types checked before the program runs, typically at compile time
    - shares advantages/disadvantages with other static analyses
  - *dynamically typed* languages have their types checked at run time, typically by a special interpreter or language runtime
    - shares advantages/disadvantages with other dynamic analyses
- Insight: typechecking is just another program analysis

# Static vs dynamic types

• Both are **common in practice** 

# Static vs dynamic types

- Both are **common in practice** 
  - $\circ$  examples of each?

# Static vs dynamic types

- Both are **common in practice** 
  - examples of each?
    - Static: Java, C, Rust, OCaml, TypeScript, etc.
    - Dynamic: Python, Ruby, JavaScript, etc.
# Static vs dynamic types

- Both are **common in practice** 
  - examples of each?
    - Static: Java, C, Rust, OCaml, TypeScript, etc.
    - Dynamic: Python, Ruby, JavaScript, etc.
- Ongoing debate about the benefits

# Static vs dynamic types

- Both are **common in practice** 
  - $\circ$  examples of each?
    - Static: Java, C, Rust, OCaml, TypeScript, etc.
    - Dynamic: Python, Ruby, JavaScript, etc.
- Ongoing debate about the benefits
  - Benefits of static typing:
    - early detection of errors, types are documentation
  - Benefits of dynamic typing:
    - faster prototyping, no false positives

- Implicit vs explicit
  - $\circ$  "do you write the types yourself"
  - o almost all mainstream, static languages are explicit

- $\circ$  "do you write the types yourself"
- o almost all mainstream, static languages are explicit
- Strength of the type system
  - not all type systems can prove the same properties

- $\circ$  "do you write the types yourself"
- almost all mainstream, static languages are explicit
- Strength of the type system
  - not all type systems can prove the same properties
  - e.g., Kotlin guarantees no null-pointer dereferences, but Java doesn't (both compile to Java bytecode)

- $\circ$  "do you write the types yourself"
- almost all mainstream, static languages are explicit
- Strength of the type system
  - not all type systems can prove the same properties
  - e.g., Kotlin guarantees no null-pointer dereferences, but Java doesn't (both compile to Java bytecode)
  - stronger types can be added to a language (ask me more)
    - "pluggable types"

# How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
  - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
  - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
  - how well do you know the language
  - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

• Key question: do the right tools for the job you need to do exist in the language?

• Key question: do the right tools for the job you need to do exist in the language?

Remember: **Don't Repeat Yourself** If someone else has already built what you need, don't build it again

- Key question: do the right tools for the job you need to do exist in the language?
- Tied to language popularity: languages that are more popular have better libraries, so people are more likely to use them
  - positive feedback loop!

- Key question: do the right tools for the job you need to do exist in the language?
- Tied to language popularity: languages that are more popular have better libraries, so people are more likely to use them
  o positive feedback loop!
- Common situation: you need library A and library B, but A is written in language L and B is written in language M
  - What to do?

```
Multi-language projects
```

• In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!

• In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!

**Multi-language projects are common! Developer quote:** ""My last 4 jobs have been apps that called: Java from C#, and C# from F#; Java from Ruby; Python from Tcl, C++ from Python, and C from Tcl; Java from Python, and Java from Scheme (And that's not even counting SQL, JS, OQL, etc.)""

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application

For example, concurrency might be better handled in F#/OCaml (immutable functional) or Ruby (designed to hide such details), while low-level OS or hardware access is much easier in C or C++, while rapid prototyping is much easier in Python or Lua, etc.

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application
  - but complicate many parts of software engineering

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application
  - but complicate many parts of software engineering
- Traditional architecture:

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application
  - but complicate many parts of software engineering
- Traditional architecture:
  - Application kernel is written in a statically typed, optimized, compiled language

- In a given project, not all code needs to be written in the same language!
- Multi-language projects allow you to choose the right language for each part of your application
  - but complicate many parts of software engineering
- Traditional architecture:
  - Application kernel is written in a statically typed, optimized, compiled language
  - Scripts are written in a dynamically typed, interpreted language

```
Multi-language projects
```



- Traditional architecture:
  - Application kernel is written in a statically typed, optimized, compiled language
  - Scripts are written in a dynamically typed, interpreted language

C/C++ is a lingua franca



- Traditional architecture:
  - Application kernel is written in a statically typed, optimized, compiled language
  - Scripts are written in a dynamically typed, interpreted language

Another common approach: common language infrastructure
enables easy integration and interoperability

- Another common approach: *common language infrastructure* enables easy integration and interoperability
- Examples:
  - .NET framework (Microsoft)
    - C++, C#, J#, F#, Visual Basic, etc.
  - Java bytecode + Java virtual machine
    - Java, Scala, Kotlin, Closure, etc.
  - LLVM bytecode
  - etc.

• Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult

- Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult
- **Debugging** can be harder
  - Especially as values flow and control flow from language A to language B

- Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult
- **Debugging** can be harder
  - Especially as values flow and control flow from language A to language B
- Build process becomes more complicated

- Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult
- **Debugging** can be harder
  - Especially as values flow and control flow from language A to language B
- Build process becomes more complicated
- **Developer expertise** is required in multiple languages
  - Must understand types (etc.) in all languages

- Integrating data and control flow across languages can be difficult
- **Debugging** can be harder
  - Especially as values flow and control flow from language A to language B
- Build process becomes more complicated
- **Developer expertise** is required in multiple languages
  - Must understand types (etc.) in all languages
- Most tools are language specific: testing frameworks (+ generation, coverage, etc.), static analysis, build systems, debuggers, etc.

# How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
  - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
  - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
  - how well do you know the language
  - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

• Three **main axes** to trade-off between languages:

Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
Performance ("how fast do programs run")

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
  - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
  - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
  - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
  - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
  - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
  - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
  - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
  - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Different languages choose different trade-offs. Examples:

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
  - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
  - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
  - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Different languages choose different trade-offs. Examples:
  - Rust: good performance and safety, hard to write

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
  - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
  - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
  - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Different languages choose different trade-offs. Examples:
  - Rust: good performance and safety, hard to write
  - Python: easy to write, okay safety, slow

- Three main axes to trade-off between languages:
  - **Performance (**"how fast do programs run")
  - **Safety** ("how easy is it to make mistakes")
  - Developer Effort ("how hard do I have to think to write a program in this language")
- Different languages choose different trade-offs. Examples:
  - Rust: good performance and safety, hard to write
  - Python: easy to write, okay safety, slow
  - C: good performance, easy-ish to write, very unsafe

• #1: safety features enforced at run time

- #1: safety features enforced at run time
  - dynamic type checking: type safety
  - garbage collection: memory safety
  - exceptions: segfault safety

- #1: safety features enforced at run time
  - dynamic type checking: type safety
  - garbage collection: memory safety
  - exceptions: segfault safety
- Also relevant: **optimizations**

- #1: safety features enforced at run time
  - dynamic type checking: type safety
  - garbage collection: memory safety
  - exceptions: segfault safety
- Also relevant: **optimizations** 
  - interpreted languages almost always slower: no optimizing compiler

- #1: safety features enforced at run time
  - dynamic type checking: type safety
  - garbage collection: memory safety
  - exceptions: segfault safety
- Also relevant: **optimizations** 
  - interpreted languages almost always slower: no optimizing compiler
  - JITs (*just-in-time compilers*) can produce surprisingly fast code
    - e.g., Java Virtual Machine

• #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?
  - requires **static analysis** (= there will be false positives)

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?
  - requires **static analysis** (= there will be false positives)
  - harder for programmers (trades off against effort)

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?
  - requires **static analysis** (= there will be false positives)
  - harder for programmers (trades off against effort)
    - the garbage collector in Java/Go/etc. is automatic
    - but writing Rust code requires follows its (complex) type discipline

- #1 performance problem: safety features enforced at run time
- So, why not **enforce safety at compile time** instead?
  - requires **static analysis** (= there will be false positives)
  - harder for programmers (trades off against effort)
    - the garbage collector in Java/Go/etc. is automatic
    - but writing Rust code requires follows its (complex) type discipline
  - bottom line: statically safe languages can be faster, but are generally harder to program in

# How can programming languages differ?

- programming paradigm
- whether they have a type system
  - o and, if they do, what kind of type system they have
- library support
  - the standard library is especially important
- performance
- team/process factors
  - how well do you know the language
  - how easy it'll be to hire other developers who do

• Learning a new programming language takes time

- Learning a new programming language takes time
  - Becoming productive shouldn't take that long
    - but, this scales with how hard the language is to program in (+ access to mentors, etc.)

- Learning a new programming language takes time
  - Becoming productive shouldn't take that long
    - but, this scales with how hard the language is to program in (+ access to mentors, etc.)
  - Becoming an expert takes a long time!

- Learning a new programming language takes time
  - Becoming productive shouldn't take that long
    - but, this scales with how hard the language is to program in (+ access to mentors, etc.)
  - Becoming an expert takes a long time!
- If you need performance, you usually need at least one expert
  - cf. AWS employs some JVM experts to tune the garbage collector for AWS services that use Java

Implication: if you're going to need an expert, make sure you have one! This often seriously limits your choice of languages in practice :(

- Becoming an expert takes a long time!
- If you need performance, you usually need at least one expert
  - cf. AWS employs some JVM experts to tune the garbage collector for AWS services that use Java

• Because learning a new language takes time, the **popularity** of a language is also a plus:

- Because learning a new language takes time, the **popularity** of a language is also a plus:
  - it's easier to hire new engineers who already know the language, and therefore can ramp up faster

- Because learning a new language takes time, the **popularity** of a language is also a plus:
  - it's easier to hire new engineers who already know the language, and therefore can ramp up faster
  - but this impact is relatively small over a typical engineer's tenure at a company

- Because learning a new language takes time, the **popularity** of a language is also a plus:
  - it's easier to hire new engineers who already know the language, and therefore can ramp up faster
  - but this impact is relatively small over a typical engineer's tenure at a company
- Implication: if all else is equal, choose the more popular language

- the reading talked about moving a service from one language to another
  - why?

- the reading talked about moving a service from one language to another
  - why? Performance problems.

- the reading talked about moving a service from one language to another
  - why? Performance problems.
- This is usually a **risky thing** to do:
  - you're not building new features
  - integration problems
  - will the benefits be worth it?

- the reading talked about moving a service from one language to another
  - why? Performance problems.
- This is usually a **risky thing** to do:
  - you're not building new features
  - integration problems
  - will the **K** Implication: rewriting is a good idea if you're confident that the benefits of the new language are worthwhile, but be cautious: it can expensive!

# Takeaways

- there is a wider world of languages than just imperative and object-oriented (but those are the most popular)
  - learning to write functional code can make you a better programmer
- different programming languages have different trade-offs
  performance vs safety vs ease of use vs ...
- when starting a new project, think carefully about the requirements before choosing a language
- rewrite a project in a new language only after careful consideration

# **Reading Quiz: Build Systems**

Q1: The "F5 key" in the title of the reading represent substituting \_\_\_\_\_\_ for a proper build process?

- A. shell scripts
- **B.** the IDE
- C. developer knowledge
- **D.** testing

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author thinks that the length of time that it takes for you to get a new team member working productively on your project is a good measure of the health of a software project

# **Reading Quiz: Build Systems**

Q1: The "F5 key" in the title of the reading represent substituting for a proper build process?

- A. shell scripts
- **B.** the IDE
- C. developer knowledge
- **D.** testing

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author thinks that the length of time that it takes for you to get a new team member working productively on your project is a good measure of the health of a software project

# **Reading Quiz: Build Systems**

Q1: The "F5 key" in the title of the reading represent substituting for a proper build process?

- A. shell scripts
- **B.** the IDE
- C. developer knowledge
- **D.** testing

Q2: **TRUE** or **FALSE**: the author thinks that the length of time that it takes for you to get a new team member working productively on your project is a good measure of the health of a software project

# **Build Systems**

Today's agenda:

- Finish slides on Languages
- What is a build system? How does one work?
- How to choose a build system + best practices

### What does a developer do?
# What does a developer do?

- Get the source code
- Install dependencies
- Compile the code
- Run static analysis
- Generate documentation
- Run tests
- Create artifacts for customers
- Ship!

# What does a developer do?

- Get the source code
- Install dependencies
- Compile the code
- Run static analysis
- Generate documentation
- Run tests
- Create artifacts for customers
- Ship!

Which should be handled manually?

# What does a developer do?

- Get the source code
- Install dependencies
- Compile the code
- Run static analysis
- Generate documentation
- Run tests
- Create artifacts for customers
- Ship!

Which should be handled manually?

NONE!

# From the reading

"Here's how most clients I work with build a project:

- 1. Open the IDE
- 2. Load the solution
- 3. Get latest
- 4. Press F5 (or CTRL+SHIFT+B)"

# From the reading

"Here's how most clients I wow

- 1. Open the IDE
- 2. Load the solution
- 3. Get latest
- 4. Press F5 (or CTRL+SHIR er

**"The F5 key is not a build process**. It's a quick and dirty substitute. If that's how you build your software, I regret that I have to be the one to tell you this, but your project is not based on solid software engineering practices."

# From the reading

"Here's how most clients I wow

- 1. Open the IDE
- 2. Load the solution
- 3. Get latest
- 4. Press F5 (or CTRL+SHIR engi

**"The F5 key is not a build process**. It's a quick and dirty substitute. If that's how you build your software, I regret that I have to be the one to tell you this, but your project is not based on solid software engineering practices."

#### Key objective of a build system: avoid this problem!

**Definition:** A *build system* is a tool for orchestrating software engineering tasks

**Definition:** A *build system* is a tool for orchestrating software engineering tasks

- Getting the source code
- Installing dependencies
- Compiling the code
- Running static analysis
- Generating documentation
- Running tests
- Creating artifacts for customers
- Shipping!

**Definition:** A *build system* is a tool for orchestrating software engineering tasks

- Getting the source code
- Installing dependencies
- Compiling the code
- Running static analysis
- Generating documentation
- Running tests
- Creating artifacts for customers
- Shipping!

A good build system handles all these



#### **Definition**: a *task* is anything that the build system can do

**Definition**: a *task* is anything that the build system can do

- Getting the source code
- Installing dependencies
- Compiling the code
- Running static analysis
- Generating documentation
- Running tests
- Creating artifacts for customers
- Shipping!

**Definition**: a *task* is anything that the build system can do

- Getting the source code
- Installing dependencies
- Compiling the code
- Running static analysis
- Generating documentation
- Running tests
- Creating artifacts for customers
- Shipping!



• #1 thing to know about tasks: tasks are code, too!

- #1 thing to know about tasks: tasks are code, too!
  - Should be checked into version control
  - Should be code-reviewed
  - $\circ$  Should be tested

- #1 thing to know about tasks: tasks are code, too!
  - Should be checked into version control
  - Should be code-reviewed
  - Should be tested
- Tasks also commonly have dependencies

- #1 thing to know about tasks: tasks are code, too!
  - Should be checked into version control
  - Should be code-reviewed
  - Should be tested
- Tasks also commonly have **dependencies** 
  - Dependency management is a key build system responsibility!

> ls src/

Lib.java LibTest.java Main.java SystemTest.java





• A large project may have thousands of tasks

- A large project may have thousands of tasks
  - What order to run in?
  - How to speed up?

- A large project may have thousands of tasks
  - What order to run in?
  - How to speed up?

# Determining task ordering

• Dependencies between tasks form a directed acyclic graph

# Determining task ordering

• Dependencies between tasks form a directed acyclic graph **Topological sort!** 

• Any ordering on the nodes such that all dependencies are satisfied

- Any ordering on the nodes such that all dependencies are satisfied
- Implement by computing *indegree* (number of incoming edges) for each node













Valid sorts:

1. compile Lib, run lib test, compile Main, run system test



Valid sorts:

1. compile Lib, run lib test, compile Main, run system test

2. compile Main, compile Lib, run lib test, run system test



Valid sorts:

1. compile Lib, run lib test, compile Main, run system test

2. compile Main, compile Lib, run lib test, run system test

3. compile Lib, compile Main, run lib test, run system test


### Examples of modern build systems



Apache's open-source successor to ant, maven



https://www.bazel.build/

Google's internal build tool, now open-source

```
task reformat(type: Exec, dependsOn: getCodeFormatScripts, group: 'Format') {
    description 'Format the Java source code'
    // jdk8 and checker-qual have no source, so skip
    onlyIf { !project.name.is('jdk8') && !project.name.is('checker-qual') }
    executable 'python'
    doFirst {
        args += "${formatScriptsHome}/run-google-java-format.py"
        args += "-aosp" // 4 space indentation
        args += getJavaFilesToFormat(project.name)
    }
```

```
task reformat(type: Exec, dependsOn: getCodeFormatScripts, group: 'Format') {
    description 'Format the Java source code'
    // jdk8 and checker-qual have no source, so skip
    onlyIf { !project.name.is('jdk8') && !project.name.is('checker-qual') }
    executable 'python'
    doFirst {
        args += "${formatScriptsHome}/run-google-java-format.py"
        args += "-aosp" // 4 space indentation
        args += getJavaFilesToFormat(project.name) kind of rule
```

```
task reformat(type: Exec, dependsOn: getCodeFormatScripts, group: 'Format') {
    description 'Format the Java source code'
    // jdk8 and checker-qual have no source, so skip
    onlyIf { !project.name.is('jdk8') && !project.name.is('checker-qual') }
    executable 'python'
    doFirst {
        args += "${formatScriptsHome}/run-google_tava-format.py"
        args += "-aosp" // 4 space indentation
        args += getJavaFilesToFormat(project.name) explicitly specified
        dependencies
```

```
task reformat(type: Exec, dependsOn: getCodeFormatScripts, group: 'Format') {
    description 'Format the Java source code'
    // jdk8 and checker-qual have no source, so skip
    onlyIf { !project.name.is('jdk8') && !project.name.is('checker-qual') }
    executable 'python'
    doFirst {
        args += "${formatScriptsHome}/run-google-java-format.py"
        args += "-aosp" // 4 space indentation code!
        args += getJavaFilesToFormat(project.name)
```

```
java binary(
    name = "dux",
    main class = "org.dux.cli.DuxCLI",
    deps = ["@google options//:compile",
            "@checker gual//:compile",
            "@google_cloud_storage//:compile",
            "@slf4j//:compile",
            "@logback classic//:compile"],
    srcs = glob(["src/org/dux/cli/*.java",
                 "src/org/dux/backingstore/*.java"),
```

```
kind of rule
java binary( <
    name = "dux",
    main class = "org.dux.cli.DuxCLI",
    deps = ["@google options//:compile",
            "@checker gual//:compile",
            "@google_cloud_storage//:compile",
            "@slf4j//:compile",
            "@logback classic//:compile"],
    srcs = glob(["src/org/dux/cli/*.java",
                 "src/org/dux/backingstore/*.java"),
```





## External and internal dependencies

• A list of tasks (internal) or libraries (external)

## External and internal dependencies

• A list of tasks (internal) or libraries (external)

```
dependencies {
    compile group:
        'org.hibernate',
        name: 'hibernate-core',
        version: '3.6.7.Final'
    testCompile group:
        'junit',
        name: 'junit',
        version: '4.+'
}
```

# Why list dependencies?

• Reproducibility!

# Why list dependencies?

- Reproducibility!
- Hermetic builds: "they are insensitive to the libraries and other software installed on the build machine"<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup><u>https://landing.google.com/sre/sre-book/chapters/release-engineering/</u>

# Why list dependencies?

- Reproducibility!
- Hermetic builds: "they are insensitive to the libraries and other software installed on the build machine"<sup>1</sup>
  - critical if you want to get new developers working quickly (remember the reading!)
  - useful for debugging problems users encounter with old versions (can always get back to exactly the code they're using)
  - prevents "it works on my machine" syndrome

<sup>1</sup><u>https://landing.google.com/sre/sre-book/chapters/release-engineering/</u>

### Dependencies between tasks

- A large project may have thousands of tasks
  - What order to run in?
  - How to speed up?

• Incrementalize - only rebuild what you have to

#### Incrementalization



#### Incrementalization: time stamps





### Incrementalization: time stamps



#### Incrementalization: time stamps



### Incrementalization: hashing

## Incrementalization: hashing

- Compute hash codes for inputs to each task
- When about to execute a task, check input hashes if they match the last time the task was executed, skip it!

- Incrementalize only rebuild what you have to
- Execute many tasks in parallel

- Incrementalize only rebuild what you have to
- Execute many tasks in parallel
  - some build system tasks are *embarrassingly parallel*: they can be reordered without explicit synchronization
    - is this true of all tasks?

- Incrementalize only rebuild what you have to
- Execute many tasks in parallel
  - some build system tasks are *embarrassingly parallel*: they can be reordered without explicit synchronization
    - is this true of all tasks? No: some tasks depend on each other. The problem of scheduling tasks with no unbuilt dependencies is embarrassingly parallel, though.

- Incrementalize only rebuild what you have to
- Execute many tasks in parallel
  - some build system tasks are *embarrassingly parallel*: they can be reordered without explicit synchronization
    - is this true of all tasks? No: some tasks depend on each other. The problem of scheduling tasks with no unbuilt dependencies is embarrassingly parallel, though.
- Cache artifacts in the cloud

• Scheduling algorithm

- Scheduling algorithm
  - We've already seen topological scheduling (used by e.g. make), which is a static scheduling algorithm

- Scheduling algorithm
  - We've already seen topological scheduling (used by e.g. make), which is a static scheduling algorithm
  - **Dynamic** scheduling algorithms are also possible

- Scheduling algorithm
  - We've already seen topological scheduling (used by e.g. make), which is a static scheduling algorithm
  - **Dynamic** scheduling algorithms are also possible
    - Key idea: compute what dependencies are necessary as you go

- Scheduling algorithm
  - We've already seen topological scheduling (used by e.g. make), which is a static scheduling algorithm
  - **Dynamic** scheduling algorithms are also possible
    - Key idea: compute what dependencies are necessary as you go
    - this is how e.g., Bazel actually schedules tasks

• Rebuilding strategy

Rebuilding strategy
 We've seen two:

- Rebuilding strategy
  - We've seen two:
    - a *dirty bit* strategy (make's timestamps)

- Rebuilding strategy
  - We've seen two:
    - a *dirty bit* strategy (make's timestamps)
    - a *verifying trace* strategy (storing hashes of each object)
- Rebuilding strategy
  - We've seen two:
    - a dirty bit strategy (make's timestamps)
    - a verifying trace strategy (storing hashes of each object)
  - Other options:
    - constructive traces: store all intermediate objects (usually in the cloud) along with the hashes of the inputs used to produce them. If we ever see the same input hashes again, just return the intermediate object

• How are tasks expressed?

- How are tasks expressed?
  - traditionally **declarative** (e.g., make, Ant, Maven)
    - "declarative" = you tell the build system what you want, it figures out how to build that thing
    - call back to languages: programming languages can also be from the *declarative paradigm* (e.g., Prolog)

- How are tasks expressed?
  - traditionally **declarative** (e.g., make, Ant, Maven)
    - "declarative" = you tell the build system what you want, it figures out how to build that thing
    - call back to languages: programming languages can also be from the *declarative paradigm* (e.g., Prolog)
  - most modern build systems have scripting languages
    - e.g., Groovy in Gradle, Starlark in Bazel, etc.
    - enables us to write tasks as if they are other code

High level idea: same rules apply to choosing a language

High level idea: same rules apply to choosing a language

• **don't change what's already there** unless there is a good reason

High level idea: same rules apply to choosing a language

- **don't change what's already there** unless there is a good reason
- follow convention and prefer the tooling that's "idiomatic" to your language
  - e.g., use Gradle or Maven when working in Java

 developers rarely choose to change build systems except when build performance is a problem

- developers rarely choose to change build systems except when build performance is a problem
  - common causes include:

- developers rarely choose to change build systems except when build performance is a problem
  - common causes include:
    - poor incrementalization (e.g., Maven's per-module incremental compilations)

- developers rarely choose to change build systems except when build performance is a problem
  - common causes include:
    - poor incrementalization (e.g., Maven's per-module incremental compilations)
    - lack of support for artifact caching (= cloud builds)

- developers rarely choose to change build systems except when build performance is a problem
  - common causes include:
    - poor incrementalization (e.g., Maven's per-module incremental compilations)
    - lack of support for artifact caching (= cloud builds)
    - build has become too complex for a declarative task language

- developers rarely choose to change build systems except when build performance is a problem
  - common causes include:
    - poor incrementalization (e.g., Maven's per-module incremental compilations)
    - lack of support for artifact caching (= cloud builds)
    - build has become too complex for a declarative task language
  - most projects keep the same build system **forever**

• Automate everything

- Automate everything
- Always use a build tool

- Automate everything
- Always use a build tool
- Have a build server that builds and tests your code on every commit (continuous integration)

- Automate everything
- Always use a build tool

Your CI server is a good place to test that your build is hermetic. **Standard practice**: spin up a new CI server for **each build**.

• Have a build server that builds and tests your code on every commit (continuous integration)

- Automate everything
- Always use a build tool
- Have a build server that builds and tests your code on every commit (continuous integration)
- Don't depend on anything that's not in the build file (hermetic)

- Automate everything
- Always use a build tool
- Have a build server that builds and tests your code on every commit (continuous integration)
- Don't depend on anything that's not in the build file (hermetic)
- Don't break the build

- Automate everything
- Always use a build tool
- Have a build server that builds and tests your code on every commit (continuous integration)
- Don't depend on anything that's not in the build file (hermetic)
- Don't break the build

A common mistake to avoid: allowing the CI server to fail for a long time because "we know what the problem is." Don't do this: leads to complacency, missing real bugs.